





How to successfully conduct a viral clearance study - from design to execution -

Michael Lasse (PhD), Study Director Supervisor, Charles River Laboratories Germany (michael.lasse@crl.com)



- 1 Introducing Charles River Laboratories and Cologne site
- 2 Biologics and the inherent need for viral clearance
- 3 Development of a Biologic
- 4 Viral clearance studies Introduction
- 5 Viral clearance studies Early phase
- 6 Viral clearance studies Late stage design
- 7 Viral clearance for continuous processes
- 8 Summary





Charles River Laboratories – "Every step of the way"



Founded in 1947 by Dr. Henry Foster



A leading, full-service drug discovery and early-stage development company



Our scientists worked on ~70% of the drugs approved by the FDA in 2016







Charles River Laboratories – "Every step of the way"



Cologne / Erkrath site:

- VC part of Biologics group

<u>ERK:</u>

- in vitro testings
- cell line characterization
- release testing
- qPCR analysis

COL:

- viral clearance





Biologics and the inherent need for viral clearance

Biologics vs. synthetic drugs

- strictly defined chemical process vs. highly variable "living" system
- prone to degradation / inactivation processes, modifications and contamination
- production using biological sources
 - microorganisms (bacteria; fungi / yeast)
 - animal and plant cells / cell cultures
 - tissues / organs
 - blood
 - urine





Biologics and the inherent need for viral clearance

Biologics vs. synthetic drugs

- active components
 - peptides / proteins (e.g. antibodies; vaccines; hormones; enzymes...)
 - oligonucleotides / nucleic acids (e.g. antisense-RNA...)
 - cells (e.g. cell therapy; wound patches...)
 - tissues (e.g. collagen wound patches...)
 - virus particles (e.g. vaccines; gene therapy vectors...)
- oral application vs. injection / infusion





Development of a Biologic







Provide evidence that the production process will efficiently **inactivate/remove viruses known to contaminate** the starting material (**relevant viruses**)



Provide indirect evidence that the production process might **inactivate/remove novel or unpredictable** virus contaminants (**model viruses**)





Viral clearance studies - Introduction





Typical model viruses used for spiking (Biotechnology)

Envelope	Genome	Virus	Size [nm]	Stability
yes	ssRNA	Murine leukemia virus	ia 80 – 100 rus 120 – 200	low
·	dsDNA	Pseudorabies virus	120 – 200	low – medium
no	dsRNA	Reovirus type 3	60 - 80	medium
	ssDNA	Minute virus of mice	20 – 26	very high





Viral clearance studies - Introduction



Spiking of the start material for the respective step.

spike = high titer virus stock solution

1.1	
ш	

Determination of total virus load in start material (input).

tissue culture infectious dose 50 (TCID₅₀)



Execution of the downscaled process step.



Determination of total virus load in product-containing fraction (output).



Assessment of the process step.







- development of the manufacturing steps and definition of correlating parameters
- risk assessment / determination of potential viral load (e.g. TEM)
- identification and/or introduction of potential steps contributing to viral clearance

Capturing / Purification steps



Accessory / Hold steps



deliberate techniques, solely introduced to remove or inactivate virus particles (e.g. pH treatment; virus filtration)











Biotech:

- investigation on 2 to 3 process steps
- mostly: inactivation, chromatography, virus filtration
- reduced virus panel (MuLV and MVM)
- reduced analysis (only product-containing fraction)
- fresh resin
- duplicate runs











1 Study initiation / Drafting the study plan

implementation of the down scale parameters

- sampling of pre-test items (intermediates from DS process or manufacturing; no buffer!)
- identification of <u>critical</u> process parameters
- every process has tolerance ranges for parameters like protein concentration/load, pH, pressure, conductivity, temperature etc.
- your task: identify the most critical ones and determine the "worst case condition"
 (↓ ↑) which should be applied during the VCS





1 Study initiation / Drafting the study plan

Affinity / Protein A chromatography:

Mechanism of VC:

removal (and inactivation)

Effective against:

enveloped / non-enveloped viruses

Critical parameters:

- flow rate ↓ / residence time ↑
- load \$\geq\$ / resin capacity \$\geq\$
- wash volume ↓
- conductivity (load/wash ↓; elution ↑)

pH inactivation / detergent treatment:

Mechanism of VC:

physico-chemical inactivation

Effective against:

enveloped viruses

Critical parameters:

- $pH \uparrow \downarrow$ (towards neutral)
- detergent concentration ↓
- incubation period ↓
- incubation temperature ↓





1 Study initiation / Drafting the study plan

Anion exchange chromatography: (non-binding; HCP/DNA-removal)

Mechanism of VC:

removal

Effective against:

enveloped / non-enveloped viruses

Critical parameters:

- pH ↓ and conductivity ↑
- load ↑ / resin capacity ↓

Cation exchange chromatography: (binding; polishing)

Mechanism of VC:

removal

Effective against:

enveloped / non-enveloped viruses

Critical parameters:

- pH ↓ and conductivity (load/wash ↓; elution ↑)
- flow rate ↓ / residence time ↑
- load ↓ / resin capacity ↑





1 Study initiation / Drafting the study plan

Virus filtration:

Mechanism of VC:

removal

Effective against:

enveloped / non-enveloped viruses

Critical parameters:

- protein load
- filtration volume
- pressure / pressure releases & interruptions
- post-wash volume

- freeze and thaw cycle
 - unexpected aggregation
 - flow decay
 - blocking
 - insufficient volume processed
 - fresh (unfrozen) material
 - include additional pre-filtration steps
 - analytical data on protein content prior and post pre-filtration (?)





1 Study initiation / Drafting the study plan

Virus filtration:

Mechanism of VC:

removal

Effective against:

enveloped / non-enveloped viruses

Critical parameters:

- protein load
- filtration volume
- pressure / pressure releases & interruptions
- post-wash volume

- protein spike interactions
 - virus and/or buffer
 - unexpected aggregation
 - flow decay / blocking
 - U/C virus
 - virus-specific pre-filtration (membrane type!)
 - mock spiking and filterability studies
 - reduce spike ratio (increase sensitivity!)
 - U/C virus in process buffer (recovery assay!)
 - [lower spike ratio with concentrated virus]





1 Study initiation / Drafting the study plan

Virus filtration:

Mechanism of VC:

removal

Effective against:

enveloped / non-enveloped viruses

Critical parameters:

- protein load
- filtration volume
- pressure / pressure releases & interruptions
- post-wash volume

- Pre-filter: coupled / de-coupled mode
 - evaluation of virus filter
 - pre-filter usually not quality controlled
 - reduction of virus load by pre-filter vs.
 - blocking of de-coupled virus filter
 - pre-filtration spiking virus filtration
 - VC in coupled mode and determination of reduction by pre-filter
 - coupled mode with in-line spiking





1 Study initiation / Drafting the study plan

Virus filtration:

Mechanism of VC:

removal

Effective against:

enveloped / non-enveloped viruses

Critical parameters:

- protein load
- filtration volume
- pressure / pressure releases & interruptions
- post-wash volume

- constant flow or constant pressure
 - constant flow → pressure likely to increase during filtration
 - constant pressure \rightarrow flow decay over time
 - process-specific interruptions
 - failure-related interruptions







- cytotoxicity assay and interference assay
- virus inactivating agents (detergents, enzymes, extreme pH) / inactivating properties
- recovery assays (start material ; buffer)

Optionally:

- adaptation of assay sensitivity after determination of effects on viral replication
- change of propagation cell line if toxic/interfering properties affect determination of the load titer and/or cannot be compensated by assay sensitivity
- change of test system if product interacts / cross-reacts with virus or indicator cells







- awareness of responsibilities
- (control runs)
- spare test items, additional columns, filters, buffers etc.
- sample analysis using cell cultures \rightarrow sterile working conditions (!)
- unambiguous labeling and prompt transfer of process samples after collection
- determination of fraction size
- communicate changes (e.g. additional dilutions / pH- & conductivity adjustments)

• schedule: include some back up time





- process conducted by the sponsor vs. test facility
- non-GLP study vs. GLP study
 - feasibility study / additional data
 - application / approval process
- guidelines
 - biotech products (e.g. ICH, WHO)
 - medical devices (e.g. ISO)







Biotech:

- investigation on at least 3 process steps
- mostly: inactivation, chromatography, virus filtration
- extended virus panel (MuLV, PRV, Reo-3 and MVM)
- extended analysis for virus balancing e.g. flow through, wash steps, pre- and posteluate fractions, strip / CIP / regeneration fractions
- used resin runs
- carry over runs (viral carry over from batch to batch)





ICH Q5A¹⁾





ICH Q5A¹⁾





ICH Q5A¹⁾







ICH Q5A¹⁾







Used resin:



charles rive



Used resin:





Carry over:

- resin re-use vs. disposable
- batch to batch cross-contaminations
- virus accumulation on the resin
- examination of the system

Points to consider

carry over runs for Phase III mandatory

ICH Q5A¹⁾

[...Assurance should be provided that any virus potentially retained by the production system be adequately destroyed or removed prior to reuse of the system. For example, such evidence may be provided by demonstrating that the cleaning and regeneration procedures do inactivate or remove virus.]





Carry over:

- resin re-use vs. disposable
- batch to batch cross-contaminations
- virus accumulation on the resin
- examination of the system

Points to consider

carry over runs for Phase III mandatory

WHO Technical Report Series²⁾

[Since sanitization is an essential part of the production process, it must be validated to the same extent as virus inactivation or elimination steps...Finally, an attempt should be made to demonstrate that no infectious virus remains on the resin, usually by subjecting it to the next purification cycle. These validation experiments need to be done with <u>fresh resin</u> as well as with <u>resin that has</u> <u>been used for the specified maximum number of cycles.</u>]





Carry over:













- loading beyond resin capacity
- excess protein load and chase is administered onto second column
- challenge for VCS: definition/sampling of load and calculation of initial load titer





$\frac{\text{Single column}}{\text{(binding)}} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Hold step}}{\text{Hold step}}$

(binding)







<u>Multiple columns</u> \rightarrow <u>"batch" Hold step</u>

(binding)





$\frac{\text{Multiple columns}}{\text{(binding)}} \rightarrow \frac{\text{"continuous" Hold step}}{\text{(binding)}}$







$\frac{\text{Multiple columns}}{\text{(binding)}} \rightarrow \frac{\text{``continuous'' Hold step}}{\text{``binding)}}$



- homogenisation ("pH dampening")
- different inactivation kinetics
- no "single point" sampling
- sampling of representative fraction ("phase")



- constant flow and continuous spiking
- control of residence time ("dwell time")
- tube \rightarrow laminar flow \rightarrow non-uniform velocity
- coiled flow inverter (residence time; mixing)





<u>Single column</u> \rightarrow <u>Hold step</u> \rightarrow [XXX] \rightarrow <u>"batch" virus filtration</u> (binding)







 $\underbrace{\text{Multiple columns}}_{\text{(binding)}} \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{``continuous hold step"}}_{\text{(binding)}} \rightarrow \underbrace{[XXX]}_{\text{(binding)}} \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{``continuous" virus filtration}}_{\text{(binding)}}$



Challenge for VCS:

- batch volume vs. continuous load material "production"
- in-line pre-filtration
- constant flow, BUT very low flow rates and minimal pressure (!)
- extensive process times (days!)
- process (flow) interruptions common





- batch spiking ✓
- load / hold sampling ✓
- flow / flow rate ✓
- in-line pre-filtration not* possible X (*depending on pre-filter and virus size)
- representative load material ?
- pressure ?
- filtrability ?
- possible solution:
 - pre-filtration prior to spiking
 - in-line pre-filtration and in-line spiking









• possible solution: in-line spiking







- for some processes "standardization" is available and general statements possible (e.g. virus filtration with MuLV or low pH treatment under specific conditions)
- but: most VC approaches are as individual as your process ("case by case")
- there is no one-fits-all and no simple "right & wrong" in the study design
- important: always know what you are doing / testing and especially <u>why</u> (!)
- different and "unconventional" approaches maybe accepted by authorities by providing a scientific rational why tests where executed in a specific manner
- non-GLP and R/D data and feasibility studies can be used to justify your VC approach



Thank you very much for your attention



- 1) ICH Harmonized Tripartite Guideline Q5A (R1) 1999: Viral safety evaluation of biotechnology products derived from cell lines of human or animal origin
- 2) WHO Technical Report, Series No. 924, 2004, Annex 4; Guidelines on viral inactivation and removal procedures intended to assure the viral safety of human blood plasma products chapter 4.2.1, page 184
- 3) Figure extracted and modified from "Coiled flow inverter as an inline mixer" (*Mridha & Nigam; Chemical engineering science; Vol. 63; 2008*)

