



## System Validation Lifecycle

Roberto Bertini, Executive Consultant & Operations Manager, PQE Group







- The Validation Plan is a strategic document defining
  - Validation strategy to be applied based on Risk Assessment and activit
  - $\circ~$  Deliverables to be produced for each activity
  - Validation team along and related responsibility for the completion of each activity
- The Validation Plan shall identify the validation documentation to be created with the relevant responsibilities and the general acceptance criteria for the validation process
- Validation Strategy and effort depend on:
  - Complexity (Architecture and SW Category)
  - $\circ~$  Criticality (Managed process and Record)





### Reduced Validation Life Cycle

#### BASIC SPECTROPHOTOMETER



- This system is not interfaced to a computer and it is controlled through the embedded firmware
- Some system allows to save last instrumental run-time parameters for data acquisition
- The data are directly printed by a printer connected to the instrument.

- NO Regulated Electronic Records
- NO Configuration needs
- NO User Profiles
- NO Security Configuration
- NO Methods Management

#### EVOLVED SPECTROPHOTOMETER

- Application SW installed on a Server.
- Equipment controlled by a Client to connected to the instrument
- All information among Server and Clients are transferred through the Company LAN.
- Regulated Electronic Records (Analysis parameters. Analytical Method, Results, Template Report)
- Configuration Required
- Security Configuration
- Methods Management



GAMP

CATEGORY

3



Connecting People, Science and Regulation®

SERVER



- The User Requirements Specification document defines the Intended Use of the System and what it is expected to do, written from user's point of view
- Describe compliance, business and technical requirements, as well as non-functional needs (such as performance, design constraints and availability)



- Describes in details what the computer system is expected (NOT how it will do it) in specific, measurable and testable/verifiable terms. Each requirement should be uniquely identified
- Without requirement specification well defined and translated into measurable parameters, no validation is possible.
- The user requirements should be developed independently of a specific solution prior of selection of a supplier solution. A preliminary version of the URS may be sent to suppliers as part of the vendor selection process.



- Electronic Records and Signatures (if any) and applicable requirements for Data Integrity compliance should be identified
- Process Requirements shall be developed starting from the system process flow







Complex Configurable System (e.g MES)

- URS Package Content -> 300 pages
- Process Reqs -> 260 pages



Simple System (e.g. Stand-Alone Lab System)

- FRS Content -> ca 30 pages
- Process Reqs -> 4 pages



The target of the Supplier Evaluation is to determine if system providers:

- can provide a high quality product or service
- can meet regulatory requirements
- have Quality processes in place and if they are the adequate

Depending on System Risk Level, a Postal or On-Site Supplier Audit should be performed

Validation testing can be greatly decreased if the supplier provides a reliable set of verified functional testing





- Functional Specifications describe how the selected solution will be able to meet the intended business needs identified in the User Requirements
- Provided by the System Supplier according to its Quality System. reviewed and approved by the regulated company
- Traceable vs User Requirements





- Standard System → User Manual
- Configurable/Custom System → Functional Specs

- The Configuration Specifications document describes how the system configuration parameters have been set to meet the user requirements
- CS address:
  - the list of HW/SW components included in the Computerized System
  - Security settings
  - Process related parameters which may impact one or more GMP functionality
- The SW Design Specifications are required for the customized components in order to provide a detailed, technical explanation of how the custom functionality has been developed and works
- It can be written in pseudo-code language
- Intended recipient of DS include IT personnel (SW analysts and developers)



### A Configuration Specifications - Regulatory impact



### **Process Related Settings**

Parenteral Drug Associatio





- Quality Risk Management is a systematic process for the assessment, control communication and review of risks
- The evaluation of the risk to quality should be based on scientific knowledge and ultimately linked to the protection of the patient
- The level of effort, formality, and documentation of the quality risk management process should be commensurate with the general System Risk level







# To outline possible **Risks** associated with the implementation of business **Processes** and **Functions**

### PROCESS RISK ANALYSIS (FMEA)

Risk Scenario Description	Consequence	Risk Root Cause	1 (1)	2 (L)	4 (D)	5			
			Risk Impact (Severity)	Risk Likelihood (Customize)	Detectability (H, M, L)	Risk Priority	Comments (C)/ Mitigation (M)		
				1					
	Unauthorized use of the system and application software	Wrong Configuration	L	м	L	м	- Configure and document individual User Accounts for application SW (if managed)		
							<ul> <li>- Implement a paper based user management process based on dedicated security and utilization SOPs</li> </ul>		
The system allows the access to unauthorized user							- Configure and document individual User Accounts for application SW (if managed)		
	Unauthorized use of data acquired	Wrong Configuration	м	м	L	н	or - Implement a paper based user management process based on dedicated security and utilization SOPs		
Wrong user profiles / privileges assignment	Wrong system use and application software	Wrong Configuration	L	м	L	м	- Configure and document individual User Access level for SW application (if		
							or		
							<ul> <li>Implement a paper based user management process based on dedicated security and utilization SOPs</li> </ul>		
	Incorrect workflow traceability	Wrong Configuration	L	L	м	L	- Configure and document individual User Access level for SW application (if		
Wrong username / password assignment							or		
							<ul> <li>Implement a paper based user management process based on dedicated security and utilization SOPs</li> </ul>		
		1:000	al a m 4	:::	4:	/: •			
initigation Actions identification (i.e.									
					<b>I</b> . I -	• • • • •			
CON	riguration, S	urs up	odate	e, ao	upie	cne	CK)		



Risk analysis focused on functions Functional risk assessment

# To outline possible **Risks** associated with the selected solution

### FUNCTIONAL RISK ANALYSIS (PARMOD)



**Testing Effort determination** 



The system testing is performed to ensure that computerized systems meet their predefined requirements, prior to system release. The testing includes:

- Vendor Testing (e.g. Commissioning Testing, Unit and Integration testing, FAT/SAT), executed by the SW Supplier according to its Quality System or to a predefined Quality & Project Plan
- Validation Testing, executed in the qualification and/or production environment according to pre-defined protocols for the following Validation Testing phases:
  - Installation Qualification (Configuration Verification)
  - Operational Qualification (Functional Verification)
  - Performance Qualification (Requirements Verification)
- Tests shall be performed in an appropriately qualified environment according to a predetermined Test Plan and Test Specifications including predefined expected results.



 Installation Qualification is performed to assure that the Configuration and Design have been installed as specified and documented evidence exists to demonstrate this







 Assuring that the installed system works as specified in the Functional Specification throughout the intended (regular and exceptional) operating ranges and sufficient documentary evidence exists to demonstrate this. The Functional tests should be traceable through the OQ Test Protocols to the Functional Specification





### **Testing effort**

- Normal case (positive) testing
- Invalid case (negative) testing
- Special case (stress at the limit of permitted domain)
- o Output testing
- $\circ$  Input combination testing



- As part of the PQ it is necessary to prove that the system works correctly and consistently in the intended operational environment at the Client as part of the process for which it has been designed, using real procedures, equipment, utilities and people
- The equipment IQ & OQ and the Automation system IQ and OQ must be completed and approved before the PQ protocol is executed
- The Process SOP's needs to be tested during the PQ, and the PQ should verify that the CDS will work accordingly to the Requirements.







The Traceability Matrix:

- References the relationships between Systems User Requirements Specification versus testing (IQ/OQ/PQ)
- has to be also used during Change Control evaluation to identify the impact of changes to the system and the validation documents.



	[Client Logo]		[System Name] (di [System Code]) Traceability Matrix Doc.Dr [SYS] VR.001_TRM Versien: 1.0								
		Requirements	Risk Scenario/ Potential Failure	Testing			Comments				
	Specification ID#	Description of requirement	ID#	Configuration Testing (IQ) ID#	Functional Testing (OQ) ID#	Requirements Testing (PQ) ID#	(Optional)				
	GENERAL REQUIREME										
	[SYS].UGR.001	Language	NA	[SYS].IQT.001	NA	[SYS].PQT.001					
	[SYS].UGR.002	Instrument Control	RAS.007	[SYS].IQT.004	NA	[SYS].PQT.005					
	[SYS].UGR.003	Instrument Qualification	RAS.029	[SYS].IQT.001	NA	NA					
	[SYS].UGR.004	User Manuals	NA	[SYS].IQT.001	NA	NA					
	[SYS].UGR.005	SOPs	NA	NA	NA	[SYS].PQT.001					
REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS											
	[SYS].URR.001	Documents Change Control	NA	NA	NA	[STS].PQT.001					
	[SYS].URR.002	Document Control	NA	NA	NA	[STS].PQT.001	Refer to Validation Plan, [SYS].VP.001				
	[SYS].URR.003	Personnel Training	NA	NA	NA	[STS].PQT.001					
	[SYS].URR.004	Risk Management	NA	NA	NA	NA	Refer to Risk Analysis, [SYS].RA.001				
	[SYS].URR.005	Validation Standards	NA	NA	NA	NA	Refer to Validation Plan, [SYS].VP.001				



**ROBERTO BERTINI** Operations Director & Partner

> M: +39.349.4062985 r.bertini@pqe.eu

PQE ITALY Via Cesare Giulio Viola, 27 00148 Rome Italy

www.pqegroup.com info@pqegroup.com

## Acknowledgements