Vacuum and Pressure decay leak testing

Venice, 22. March 2019

Presenter: Dr. Matthias Kahl, Head of R&D WILCO AG, CH







Overview

- Fundamentals of DP method
 - LFC, P, V (different types)
 - pressure curve / course
 - steps of the differential pressure test
- Method selection
- Equipment in process control
- Applications of differential pressure
 - Inline vs. lab scale Systems
 - Inline systems





Fundamentals of DP

LFC, P, V



COPYRIGHT © PDA 2018

Working principle – general

- Enclose sample in sealed an tight chamber
- Apply either under- or overpressure to the chamber
- Cut the inside of the chamber from vacuum / pressure supply
- Monitor the pressure in the chamber by highly sensitive sensors
- If a mass transfer is possible between the inside of the sample and the volume in the chamber (either way) the course of the pressure in the chamber will be different from the one of a tight sample











Lower / upper chamber







Pressure decay (P) Vacuum decay (V)

Deep vacuum with vaporization (LFC)











DP – Pressure decay



Pressure on crimp and stopper => Impact on primary sealing







- 1. prefilling
- 2. filling
- 3. equalizing
- 4. testing
- 5. venting



time









DP – Deep vacuum decay - LFC[®]











DP – Deep vacuum decay - LFC[®]







Method selection

LFC, P, V



COPYRIGHT © PDA 2018



DP – Applicable containers







DP Methods – containers / LFC contents Ρ





BFS Amp Syringe

















In process control

LFC, P, V



COPYRIGHT © PDA 2018

DP in process control

- Using positive samples (capillaries, laser drilled holes, cracks)
 - ⊗ costly
 - 🙁 time consuming
 - © most similar to negative samples (for method development)
- Determining the measuring capability of a machine: needle valve
 - © Adjustable orifice
 - ☺ Set-up for a defined leak rate
 - © Installation on every chamber
 - ☺ Manual or automated activation for process control
- Advantages
 - © Leak rate reproducible, quickly qualified by flowmeter
 - ☺ No big amounts of prepared samples needed
 - ③ Automated testing to challenge functionality of stations

Comparing Physical Container Closure Integrity Test Methods and Artificial Leak Methodologies; S. Pelaez, M. Kahl, R. Mattes et al.; PDA Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology; 2019





Applications

From lab scale to inline systems



COPYRIGHT © PDA 2018

Lab scale – NEO DPX

Key Benefits

- Multiple formats: vials, syringes, ampules
- All three DP tests included
- Improved sensitivity of the DP measurement technology, down to 1.1 μm
- recipe management system

Trends

- many formats
- enhanced sensitivity
- simple format changeover
- development of recipes by enduser







Machine overview





COPYRIGHT © PDA 2018



R48 MC/LFC/P/V/NIR

- All three DP methods included, P/V/LFC
- 48 stations
- Formats
 - Ampules
 - Vials
 - 9 formats over all
- Type of contents (classified for DP Method)
 - -liquid / water based
 - -liquid / oil based
 - dry / lyo
- Machine performance
 - 50 450 / minute
 - –10 μm
- Additional technology NIR spectroscopy





Handling





COPYRIGHT © PDA 2018



Machine overview





COPYRIGHT © PDA 2018



R30 MC/LFC/V R10 HSA

- DP methods included, LFC and V
- 30 stations for DP
- Formats
 - Vials
 - 10 formats over all
- Type of contents (classified for DP Method)
 - liquid / water based
 - dry / lyo
- Machine performance
 - 200 / minute
 - –10 μm
- Additional technology NIR spectroscopy
 - second main rotor with 10 head HSA 02





Two main rotor handling





COPYRIGHT © PDA 2018



Two main rotor handling





COPYRIGHT © PDA 2018



Thank you!



COPYRIGHT © PDA 2018