




Connecting People, Science and Regulation®

Aspects of Clean Room Concepts and Good Aseptic Working Practices

By Guenther Gapp

22 September 2022





Selected Aspects about

- Aseptic Processing vs. Terminal Sterilization
- Conventional Cleanroom concepts/ Aseptic Filling
 - First Air Concept
- RABS systems and Isolators
 - The difference
 - What's important to consider
- Good Aseptic Working Practices
 - General Rules
 - Golden Rules & Pictures and Videos



Definition

Aseptic Processing

WIKIPEDIA: Aseptic processing is the process by which a **sterile (aseptic) product** (typically food or pharmaceutical) is **packaged in a sterile container** in a way that maintains sterility.

The objective of aseptic processing methods is to **assemble previously sterilized product, containers and closures within specially designed and controlled environments** intended to minimize the potential of microbiological or particulate contamination.



Terminal ST \leftrightarrow Aseptic Processing

Ways to produce sterile products

Terminal sterilization

Product containers are filled and sealed under high-quality environmental conditions designed to minimize contamination, but not to guarantee sterility.

Product in its final container is subject to a sterilization process such as heat or irradiation.

Aseptic processing

- Drug product, container, and closure are subject to sterilization separately, and then brought together.
- Because there is no process to sterilize the product in its final container, it is critical that containers be filled and sealed in an extremely high –quality environment.



Question: How to build/ maintain a Filling Line in a Cleanroom ?

Cleanroom – A room designed, maintained, and controlled to prevent particle and microbial contamination of drug products. Such a room is assigned and reproducibly meets an appropriate air cleanliness level.



Overall Cleanroom Design

- HEPA filters in ceiling
- Exhaust pulls from the floor
- No drains are allowed, no porous materials
- Limited number of flat, surfaces so that particulates have no place to settle
- Seamless and rounded floor to wall junctions
- Floors, walls, and ceilings constructed of smooth hard surfaces that can be easily cleaned
- Limited amount of equipment, fixtures and personnel
- Equipment layout to minimize risk from personnel



Cleanroom Classes

**Source:
PDA TR 62**

ISO 14644	US FDA (Aseptic Processing Guidance)	USP <1116>	EU Annex 1 and WHO	Japan (Aseptic Processing Guidance)	JP XVI
ISO 5 ≥0.5 μm 3520 ≥5 μm 29	ISO 5 /Class 100¹ 3520 ² not specified	ISO 5/Class 100 3520 not specified	Grade A Grade B (at rest) 3520 20 ³	Grade A Grade B (at rest) 3520 20 ³	Grade A Grade B (at rest) 3520 not specified
ISO 7 ≥0.5 μm 352,000 ≥5μm 2,900	ISO 7/Class 10,000 352,000 not specified	Class 10,000 352,000 not specified	Grade B (operation) Grade C (at rest) 352,000 2,900	Grade B (operation) Grade C (at rest) 352,000 2,900	Grade B (operation) Grade C (at rest) 352,000 not specified
ISO 8 ≥0.5 μm 3,520,000 ≥5μm 29,000	Class 100,000 3,520,000 not specified	Class 100,000 3,520,000 not specified	Grade C (operation) Grade D (at rest)⁴ 3,520,000 29,000	Grade C (operation) Grade D (at rest)⁴ 3,520,000 29,000	Grade C (operation) Grade D (at rest)⁴ 3,520,000 not specified

1. Class 100 and Grade A are defined as requiring unidirectional flow by all applicable guidelines
2. Class titles for US FDA and USP indicate equivalent particle counts per ft³
3. ISO 4.8 based upon reduced limit for particles ≥5 μm
4. Grade D operational particulate counts are dependent upon the operation and are not defined by any guideline



New Annex 1 Guidance



EUROPEAN
COMMISSION

Brussels, 22.8.2022
C(2022) 5938 final

GUIDELINES

**The Rules Governing Medicinal Products in the European Union
Volume 4 EU Guidelines for Good Manufacturing Practice for Medicinal Products for
Human and Veterinary Use**



EU Cleanroom Classes

For Classification: EU Annex 1

4.27 For cleanroom classification, the total of particles equal to or greater than 0.5 and 5 μm should be measured. This measurement should be performed both at rest and in simulated operations in accordance with the limits specified in Table 1.

Table 1: Maximum permitted total particle concentration for classification

Grade	Maximum limits for total particle $\geq 0.5 \mu\text{m}/\text{m}^3$		Maximum limits for total particle $\geq 5 \mu\text{m}/\text{m}^3$	
	at rest	in operation	at rest	in operation
A	3 520	3 520	Not specified ^(a)	Not specified ^(a)
B	3 520	352 000	Not specified ^(a)	2 930
C	352 000	3 520 000	2 930	29 300
D	3 520 000	Not predetermined ^(b)	29 300	Not predetermined ^(b)

^(a) Classification including 5 μm particles may be considered where indicated by the CCS or historical trends.

^(b) For grade D, in operation limits are not predetermined. The manufacturer should establish in operation limits based on a risk assessment and routine data where applicable.



EU Cleanroom Classes

Routine Monitoring : EU Annex 1

9.15 The limits for environmental monitoring of airborne particle concentration for each graded area are given in Table 5.

Table 5: Maximum permitted total particle concentration for monitoring.

Grade	Maximum limits for total particle $\geq 0.5 \mu\text{m}/\text{m}^3$		Maximum limits for total particle $\geq 5 \mu\text{m}/\text{m}^3$	
	at rest	in operation	at rest	in operation
A	3 520	3 520	29	29
B	3 520	352 000	29	2 930
C	352 000	3 520 000	2 930	29 300
D	3 520 000	Not predetermined ^(a)	29 300	Not predetermined ^(a)

^(a) For grade D, in operation limits are not predetermined. The manufacturer should establish in operation limits based on a risk assessment and on routine data, where applicable.



Overview about Cleanroom Classes/ Source PDA Global Sterile Guidance/ 2016

Table 3.3.1-1: Clean Room Standards - Airborne Particulate Levels (particles/m³)

Particle Size	ISO 14644	US FDA (Aseptic Processing Guidance) ^a	EU Annex 1	WHO Annex 4 ^b
	ISO 5	ISO 5 /Class 100 ^{c,d}	Grade A Grade B (at rest)	Grade A Grade B (at rest)
≥0.5 μm	3520	3520 ^e	3,500	3,500
≥5 μm	29	Not specified	20 ^f	20 ⁵
	ISO 6	ISO 6/Class 1000	NA	NA
≥0.5 μm	35,200	35,200	NA	NA
≥5 μm	290	Not specified	NA	NA
	ISO 7	ISO 7/Class 10,000	Grade B (operation) Grade C (at rest)	Grade B (operation) Grade C (at rest)
≥0.5 μm	352,000	352,000	350,000	350,000
≥5 μm	2,900	Not specified	2,900	2,900
	ISO 8	Class 100,000	Grade C (operation) Grade D (at rest) ^g	Grade C (operation) Grade D (at rest) ^g
0.5 μm	3,520,000	3,520,000	3,500,000	3,500,000
≥5 μm	29,000	Not specified	29,000	29,000

a Measurements always taken during operation

b The PDA TR 13 revision refers to WHO Annex 4 as it was published prior to the WHO Annex 6 adoption. The limits stated in the WHO Annex 4 are the same as those stated in Annex 6

c Class 100 and Grade A are defined as requiring unidirectional flow by all applicable guidelines

d Obsolete U.S. Federal Standard 209E classification added for continuity

e Class titles for US FDA and USP indicate equivalent particle counts per ft³

f ISO 4.8 based upon reduced limit for particles ≥5 μm

g Grade D operational particulate counts are dependent upon the operation and are not defined by any guideline



EU Cleanroom Classes and Operations

Table 4: Examples of operations and grades for aseptic preparation and processing operations

Grade A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Aseptic assembly of filling equipment. - Connections made under aseptic conditions (where sterilised product contact surfaces are exposed) that are post the final sterilising grade filter. These connections should be sterilised by steam-in-place whenever possible. - Aseptic compounding and mixing. - Replenishment of sterile bulk product, containers and closures. - Removal and cooling of unprotected (e.g. with no packaging) items from sterilisers. - Staging and conveying of sterile primary packaging components in the aseptic filling line while not wrapped. - Aseptic filling, sealing of containers such as ampoules, vial closure, transfer of open or partially stoppered vials. - Loading of a lyophilizer.
Grade B	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Background support for grade A (when not in an isolator). - Conveying or staging, while protected from the surrounding environment, of equipment, components and ancillary items for introduction into grade A.
Grade C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Preparation of solutions to be filtered including sampling and dispensing.
Grade D	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cleaning of equipment. - Handling of components, equipment and accessories after cleaning. - Assembly under HEPA filtered airflow of cleaned components, equipment and accessories prior to sterilisation. - Assembly of closed and sterilised SUS using intrinsic sterile connection devices.

EU Annex 1



Critical Area/ Grade A

Critical zone – A location within the aseptic processing area in which product and critical surfaces are exposed to the environment.

EU Annex 1, 2022

Critical Area - An area designed to maintain sterility of sterile materials. Sterilized product, containers, closures, and equipment may be exposed in critical areas such as the grade A area or a closed system.

FDA Guide, 2004

Unidirectional airflow – An airflow moving in a single direction, in a robust and uniform manner, and at sufficient speed, to reproducibly sweep particles away from the critical processing or testing area.

EU Annex 1, 2022



Definitions

Unidirectional Airflow (First Air)




Image source: Price Industries Limited, 2014,
<http://pricecriticalcontrols.com/content/uploads/assets/literature/catalogs/catalog-pages/section%20e/lfdc.pdf>

Annex 1:

First Air – Refers to filtered air that has not been interrupted prior to contacting exposed product and product contact surfaces with the potential to add contamination to the air prior to reaching the critical zone.



Definition – First Air

PDA Technical Report No. 62 “Recommended Practices for Manual Aseptic Processes”, 2013 (TR 62)

First Air (First Work Location)

The work location first in the path of HEPA filtered air (8).

The first air concept refers to what the air touches “first”. There are objects or persons in the air flow path between the HEPA filter diffuser and the product or product contact surfaces, including equipment frames, sensors, light fixtures (M. Polen)

8. NASA-TM-X-66397, NHB-5340.2; *NASA Standards for Clean Rooms and Work Stations for the Microbially Controlled Environment*; National Aeronautics and Space Administration: 1967. ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.ntrs.nasa.gov/19700078206_1970078206.pdf (accessed Jan. 25, 2013).



PDA TR 62

Recommended Practices for Manual Aseptic Processes

Technical Report No. 62

ISBN: 978-0-939459-57-5


© 2013 Parenteral Drug Association, Inc.

All rights reserved.





Unidirectional Cleanroom and Cabinet




Source: Wikipedia



Note: UDF can also be horizontal !



Turbulent Cleanroom



Source: Wikipedia



Definition – HEPA Filter

PDA Technical Report No. 62 “Recommended Practices for Manual Aseptic Processes”, 2013 (TR 62)



HEPA filter

High efficiency particulate air filter with minimum 0.3 μm particle retaining efficiency of 99.97 percent (1).



HEPA Filters (Cont'd)

- Rigid frame with filter pack
- Continuous pleated sheet filter media
- All-glass paper which is composed of an e number of randomly oriented micro-fibers
- Retains 99.97% particles greater than 0.3 microns





Airflow Visualization (Smoke) Studies

- Static and dynamic
- Protocol and Document Cover
 - Set- Up , Interventions, equipment, operator movement, opening barriers, doors, pass-throughs, grade B smoke profile
- **Used also to design/ assess ISO 5 interventions**
- Use as a training tool
- Review and draw conclusions



Smoke Study Video (Source: Youtube)





Smoke Study Video (FDA requires now more angles)





Understanding the different types of Aseptic Processing

- **Filing Technologies**

- Conventional Filling Lines with curtains/ doors / glove ports
- Barrier Systems: RABS
- Isolator systems
- Blow/Fill/Seal
- New Technologies



Barriers : CURTAINS – Problems ☹️

Curtains

- Flexible
- Separate filling line from operators
- Preserve laminar flow

Consider:

- Length
- Cleanliness



Image source: Terra Universal, Inc., <https://m.terrauniversal.com/cleanrooms/clean-rooms-curtains.php>, web accessed May 16, 2018



Barriers (continued)

Restricted
Access
Barrier
Systems
(RABS)

Consider:

- Rigid
- Separate filling line from personnel

- Airflow
- Glove ports



Image courtesy of Howorth Air Technology



Passive Restricted Access Barrier System




Image Courtesy Bioquell, Inc.



Active Restricted Access Barrier System




Image Courtesy Bioquell, Inc.



Definition RABS (Restricted Access Barrier System) EU GMP Annex 1

Restricted Access Barrier System (RABS) – System that provides an enclosed, but not fully sealed, environment meeting defined air quality conditions (for aseptic processing grade A), and using a rigid-wall enclosure and integrated gloves to separate its interior from the surrounding cleanroom environment. The inner surfaces of the RABS are disinfected and decontaminated with a sporicidal agent. Operators use gloves, half suits, RTPs and other integrated transfer ports to perform manipulations or convey materials to the interior of the RABS. Depending on the design, doors are rarely opened, and only under strictly pre-defined conditions.

Active RABS: Integral HEPA – filtered air supply

Passive RABS: Air supply by ceiling mounted HEPA – filters


Open RABS: Where there are vents in the barrier that allow air move from grade A to the grade B area

(Note: The area inside the RABS is classified as “Class A,” and the surrounding is classified as “Class B”.)



Example Layout RABS

Figure 4.4: Diagram of a Small Scale Open System Aseptic Fill with RABS



What are common layout mistakes ?

Source: ISPE 2018



Pharmaceutical Isolators





Question: What is the difference
between

Isolator

and

RABS ?



Isolator: Annex 1 Definition

Isolator – An enclosure capable of being subject to reproducible interior bio-decontamination, with an internal work zone meeting grade A conditions that provides uncompromised, continuous isolation of its interior from the external environment (e.g. surrounding cleanroom air and personnel). There are two major types of isolators:

- i. Closed isolator systems exclude external contamination of the isolator's interior by accomplishing material transfer via aseptic connection to auxiliary equipment, rather than use of openings to the surrounding environment. Closed systems remain sealed throughout operations.
- ii. Open isolator systems are designed to allow for the continuous or semi-continuous ingress and/or egress of materials during operations through one or more openings. Openings are engineered (e.g. using continuous overpressure) to exclude the entry of external contaminant into the isolator.



Points to Consider for the Aseptic Processing of Sterile Pharmaceutical Products in Isolators

ISBN: 978-1-945584-14-5

© 2020 Parenteral Drug Association, Inc.

All rights reserved.



Authors

Guenther Gapp, Gapp Quality GmbH (Chair)

Shelley Preslar, Azzur Group (Chair)

Marcia Cristina Baroni, Eli Lilly and Company

Harold Baseman, Valsource, Inc.

Jette Christensen, Novo Nordisk

Richard Denk, SKAN

Phil DeSantis, DeSantis Consulting Associates

Dawn Downey, PhD, Eli Lilly and Company

Sabina Lancaster, Novartis

Jahanvi Miller, Parenteral Drug Association

Alexandra Staerk, Novartis

Stephen C. Yang, PhD, Merck & Co., Inc.



Scope of PTC document for Isolators

- Initiated 2017, started 2018, finalized 2020
- Parenteral Drug Association. Technical Report No. 34: Design and Validation of Isolator Systems for the Manufacturing and Testing of Health Care Products; Bethesda, Md, 2001(accessed 2018)
- New Publications of Guidelines: FDA (2004), PIC/S (2007), scientific articles released and new technologies available
- Intention of PTC to assess, if a Revision of TR 34 required
- PTC document is designed to communicate best practices and considerations
- PTC strongly proposes and supports the usage of Isolators



Contents and Topics and number of Questions

- **Glossary**
- **Topic 1** Isolator Design: 3 questions
- **Topic 2** Physical Environment: 5 questions
- **Topic 3** Personnel: 2 questions
- **Topic 4** Integrity Testing of Isolator and Gloves: 3 questions
- **Topic 5** Environmental Monitoring: 5 questions
- **Topic 6** Material Transport and Loading of Isolators: 4 questions
- **Topic 7** Cleaning, Disinfection, Decontamination: Cycle develop. & Validation: 9 questions
- **Topic 8** Aseptic Process Simulation (APS): 12 questions
- **Topic 9** Best Practices in Aseptic Operations: 5 questions



1. INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE	1	TOPIC 5: ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING	15
2. GLOSSARY	2	Q5-1: What should the environmental monitoring frequency be in an isolator?	15
TOPIC 1: ISOLATOR DESIGN	4	Q5-2: Which surfaces should be monitored in an isolator?	16
Q1-1: What should the pressure differential be between the isolator interior and the surrounding area?	4	Q5-3: Should environmental monitoring excursions in isolators be treated differently compared than excursions in conventional filling lines?	17
Q1-2: What are the design considerations for isolator gloves?	4	Q5-4: Should each satellite/transfer isolator be monitored with a full environmental monitoring program similar to the main isolator?	17
Q1-3: How should the isolator be designed to minimize risk posed by interventions?	6	Q5-5: What factors should be taken into considerations when transferring environmental monitoring plates into and out of an isolator?	19
TOPIC 2: PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	7	TOPIC 6: MATERIAL TRANSPORT AND LOADING OF ISOLATORS	21
Q2-1: What should the classification of the room surrounding the isolator be?	7	Q6-1: How should indirect product contact surfaces be sterilized or decontaminated?	21
Q2-2: Should the isolator fill zone have unidirectional flow?	8	Q6-2: Should a test for packaging material integrity of load items be carried out with respect to potential for VHP ingress?	24
Q2-3: Should airflow pattern visualization/demonstration be performed in an isolator?	9	Q6-3: What approaches are recommended for transferring stoppers from outside Grade C or D to inside Grade A/ISO 5 — manual or automated rapid transfer system?	25
Q2-4: Should the isolator environment qualification runs (at rest and in operation) include the sample sites established according to ISO 14644-1 or can a reduced sampling plan be followed based on a risk assessment?	10	Q6-4: How should tubs of sterilized syringes or vials be decontaminated and transferred into the isolator?	26
Q2-5: What techniques should be used to identify, track, and evaluate air flow during qualification and maintenance of the isolator?	10	TOPIC 7: CLEANING, DISINFECTION, DECONTAMINATION: CYCLE DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION	27
TOPIC 3: PERSONNEL	12	Q7-1: What are the special considerations for cleaning and disinfecting isolator interiors (nonproduct contact surfaces) prior to decontamination?	27
Q3-1: How should personnel be gowned when working in the isolator or the background environment area during aseptic processing?	12	Q7-2: What are the current options for isolator interior decontamination?	29
Q3-2: Should operator gloves be disinfected prior to entering isolators gloves?	12	Q7-3: Should empty isolator mapping of temperature	
TOPIC 4: INTEGRITY TESTING OF ISOLATOR AND GLOVES	13		
Q4-1: What methods should be used for integrity			



Q7-5: What are the key differences between cycle development and cycle qualification?	47	Q8-8: Should the APS be used to validate the isolator loading pattern?.....	64
Q7-6: Which BI should be used for cycle development and cycle qualification? What are the requirements for such a BI (e.g., spore count, D-value)?	49	Q8-9: What are the special considerations for setting the duration of the APS for multiple shifts and multiple-day campaign production in isolators? 65	
Q7-7: How should multiple BIs be used and evaluated during VHP decontamination cycle development and validation?	51	Q8-10: What are the special considerations for the inclusion and rejection of units during the isolator APS?	67
Q7-8: What effect could oil-based HEPA-filter integrity agents have on the isolator decontamination cycle?	53	Q8-11: Should power failures that result in loss of airflow, loss of differential pressure, or loss of integrity of the isolator be simulated in an APS?	68
Q7-9: Should each load be qualified during the decontamination cycle qualification?	54	Q8-12: What are the special considerations for determining worst-case conditions in an isolator APS?	69
TOPIC 8: ASEPTIC PROCESS SIMULATIONS (APS).....	55	TOPIC 9: BEST PRACTICES IN ASEPTIC OPERATIONS..	70
Q8-1: What are the special considerations for media fills in isolators?	56	Q9-1: Is it necessary to use the same aseptic technique and practices when performing interventions in isolators and conventional fill lines?.....	71
Q8-2: What are the special considerations for isolator APS study acceptance criteria?	57	Q9-2: Should interventions that disrupt unidirectional airflow in the isolator in proximity to or above exposed sterile product, product contact surfaces, and indirect product contact surfaces be permitted?	72
Q8-3: What are the special considerations and criteria for determining the types and frequency of interventions to be included in isolator APS?	58	Q9-3: Should contact between decontaminated isolator gloves and direct or indirect product contact surfaces during setup or operations be permitted?	74
Q8-4: Should the connection, use, and unloading of transfer devices, such as RTP containers, DPTE bags, or alpha-beta ports and bags be included in the APS?	60	Q9-4: Should surfaces or materials that have not been sterilized or decontaminated be exposed in the isolator interior during aseptic operations?	75
Q8-5: Should participation in an APS be used to qualify aseptic filling operators working in isolators?	61	Q9-5: Should different precautions or steps be taken when handling decontaminated materials versus sterilized materials in the decontaminated isolator?	76
Q8-6: Does qualification by participating in an APS on a conventional aseptic processing filling line qualify aseptic processing personnel on a similar isolator filling line?	62		
Q8-7: Should the maximum number of operators be considered during the performance of the APS in the isolator?	63		



Extracts from my PDA Presentation / 2021




pda.org

TOPIC 6: MATERIAL TRANSPORT AND LOADING OF ISOLATORS

Approach 2: Equipment is sterilized and transferred into isolator through a classified environment, assembled, decontaminated

Approach 3: Equipment sterilized and transferred into isolator through non-Grade A/ISO 5 environment, decontaminated, assembled





TOPIC 6: MATERIAL TRANSPORT AND LOADING OF ISOLATORS

Approach 4: Equipment is periodically sterilized and decontaminated during the isolator decontamination cycle

Approach 4: Equipment is periodically sterilized and decontaminated during the isolator decontamination cycle

- Where it is not possible or practical to remove, sterilize, and transfer equipment into the isolator (e.g., large equipment), the equipment should remain assembled, be cleaned using a validated cleaning process,* and be decontaminated in place inside the isolator using a validated decontamination process (e.g., VHP). The frequency for large equipment sterilization should be risk based.
 - The validation of the decontamination process should include assurance that the indirect product contact surfaces have been rendered incapable of contaminating sterile surfaces or components.
 - Additional precautions should be taken as part of the cleaning and handling process to ensure that oily residues or other substances that can reduce the effectiveness of the decontamination process are removed prior to or not present during the decontamination process.
 - The bioburden of the equipment indirect product contact surfaces should be controlled, and precautions should be taken to limit their exposure to potential sources of microbiological contamination during maintenance, handling, and use.
- * The cleaning process should be qualified to remove substances that inhibit the effectiveness of the decontamination process, i.e., silicone, oily residues, and fingerprints.



TOPIC 7: CLEANING, DISINFECTION, DECONTAMINATION: CYCLE DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION : 7 Questions

- Q7-7: How should multiple biological indicators (BIs) be used and evaluated during VHP decontamination cycle development and validation?

The exposure of **multiple**, usually three, **BIs** at given locations may be used to evaluate and qualify the effectiveness of the decontamination cycle.

The **logarithmic reduction of surface contamination** may be determined through empirical evidence, mathematical formula, experiment, most probable number (MPN) of surviving spores, and/or direct evaluation.

Where all BIs at a location are deactivated or killed, a more efficient decontamination effect and reduction level may be assumed. Where **one or more of the BIs** are not deactivated, a less effective decontaminating cycle or log reduction level may be assumed.



TOPIC 9: BEST PRACTICES IN ASEPTIC OPERATIONS :

- Q9-1: Is it necessary to use the same aseptic technique and practices when performing interventions in isolators and conventional fill lines?

Proper aseptic technique and good working principles and practices, as applied in conventional Grade A/ISO 5 cleanrooms, should also be followed in isolator operations where possible. Risk assessments should be used to provide information for making decisions related to the performance and control of interventions and procedures in the isolator.

The isolator equipment and processes should be designed to allow for proper aseptic technique and practices, where reasonably possible. Where equipment and processes cannot be designed in such a way, additional controls should be considered to mitigate risk of contamination, e.g., use of sterile devices.



TOPIC 9: BEST PRACTICES IN ASEPTIC OPERATIONS :

- Q9-2: Should interventions that disrupt unidirectional airflow in the isolator in proximity to or above exposed sterile product, product contact surfaces and indirect product contact surfaces be permitted ?

The isolator, the placement of isolator glove positions, and the aseptic operation should be designed to avoid or minimize the disruption of unidirectional air flow above or in the proximity of exposed sterile product or product contact surfaces.

A risk assessment should be performed to determine the level of risk for each specific intervention with regard to a potential contamination created by interventions, e.g., adjusting of filling nozzles or assembling stopper bowls.

If the risk assessment shows that there is low or no risk of contamination added by the intervention, then disrupted or turbulent airflow resulting from the intervention within a properly designed and maintained isolator may be acceptable.





Best Practices in Aseptic Operations

Note: are applicable also for RABS and Isolators !



What can go wrong with isolators ?


- Cleaning of isolator inside surfaces not done properly
- VHP – decontamination
- Inaccessible surfaces inside
- Loading if Material into isolator (operators/ integrity of bags)
- RTP's and alpha/beta ports
- Integrity of isolators and gloves
- Non- sterile materials introduced
- Pressure drops
- Aseptic Working Practices
-



Aseptic Processing: it's a lot about People !



Personnel ... WE SHED SKIN FLAKES (and therefore also BACTERIA)





80% of contamination in a clean room comes from people

- While sitting motionless, a person sheds about 100,000 particles
- While walking at 8 Km/H, a person can shed up to 10 million particles **PER MINUTE!**



Movement

- **Impact of movement**
 - Flaking skin cells
 - Expelled with gown billowing
 - Microorganisms transferred through saturated gown/mask
- **Move slowly**
 - Walking, turning, limit gesturing
 - Be conscious of airflow



Video: Walking in cleanrooms





Movement

- Impact of movement
 - Flaking skin cells
 - Expelled with gown bellowing
 - Microorganisms “wicked” through saturated gown/mask
- Move slowly
 - Walking, turning, limit gesturing
 - Be conscious of airflow






Video:





Contamination Sources

Figure 1 Microbial contamination sources





Some potential routes for Transfer of Contamination

- **Airborne**
 - Sourced from personnel and machines
 - Small particles are dispersed (e.g. skin cells)
- **Contact**
 - Contaminated gloves, machinery, clothing, packaging
 - Direct and indirect product contact surfaces by contaminated surfaces



Aseptic Operations – Personnel Practices

- FDA Guidance for Industry, Sterile Drug Products Produced by Aseptic Processing (2004):

“Some of the techniques aimed at maintaining sterility of sterile items and surfaces include:

Contact sterile materials only with sterile instruments.

- Sterile instruments should always be used in the handling of sterilized materials. Between uses, sterile instruments should be held under Class 100 (ISO 5) conditions and maintained in a manner that prevents contamination (e.g., placed in sterilized containers). Instruments should be replaced as necessary throughout an operation.
- After initial gowning, sterile gloves should be regularly sanitized or changed, as appropriate, to minimize the risk of contamination. Personnel should not directly contact sterile products, containers, closures, or critical surfaces with any part of their gown or gloves.”



Aseptic Operations – Personnel Practices (Cont'd)

- FDA Guidance for Industry, Sterile Drug Products Produced by Aseptic Processing (2004) :
 - **Move slowly and deliberately:** Rapid movements can create unacceptable turbulence in a critical area. Such movements disrupt the unidirectional airflow, presenting a challenge beyond intended cleanroom design and control parameters.

 - **Keep the entire body out of the path of unidirectional airflow:** Unidirectional airflow design is used to protect sterile equipment surfaces, container-closures, and product. Disruption of the path of unidirectional flow air in the critical area can pose a risk to product sterility.



Aseptic Operations – Personnel Practices (Cont'd)

- FDA Guidance for Industry, Sterile Drug Products Produced by Aseptic Processing (2004):
 - *Approach a necessary manipulation in a manner that does not compromise sterility of the product.*
 - A proper aseptic manipulation should be approached from the side and not above the product (in vertical unidirectional flow operations).
 - Operators should refrain from speaking when in direct proximity to the critical area.



Operator Impact

“A well designed, maintained, and operated aseptic process *minimizes personnel intervention*. As operator activities increase in an aseptic processing operation, the risk to finished product sterility also increases.”

... refer to design limitations on the next slide !

FDA Guidance “Sterile Drug Products Produced by Aseptic Processing-Current Good Manufacturing Practice” 2004.




Brief demonstration in Classroom

- 5 Key Principles



➤ What's wrong ?





Interventions

- **Interventions: "Less - Critical" and " Critical"** (under vertical unidirectional air flow in a smoke study video picture)





Transfer into grade A

- “Aseptic” and “Support” Operator
- Doctor/ Nurse principle or A/B Operator
- Frequent glove sanitization or change-out
- Double bag method





Example of: Correct behaviour






Airflow : Working in UDF






Video: example how handle filling needles





Aseptic Techniques





Too fast:





Videos





Good Aseptic Technique – Golden Rules !

- Do not reach over or do not disrupt the unidirectional air flow with your body or your gloves (also applicable for RABS gloves): sterile product, and direct product contact parts (e.g. filling needles, open syringes and vials) and indirect product contact surface (e.g. stopper bowl)

- Do not touch Critical Surfaces

- Disinfect gloved hands prior to accessing Grade A area

- Always move slowly and make slow motions (including RABS/ ISOLATOR Gloves)

- Transfer of material into grade A: A/B operator and 2 bag method/ or disinfection of transferred material prior to introduction

- Perform set -up operations from top to bottom, inside to outside
- Do not lean against walls, tables, equipment, doors, carts
- Do not touch the floor or any component that has touched the floor



Points to Consider for Aseptic Processing. Part 1 and 2 : highly recommended to read !

Points to Consider for Aseptic Processing

Part 1
January 2015

ISBN: 978-0-939459-75-9
© 2015 Parenteral Drug Association, Inc.
All rights reserved.



Points to Consider for Aseptic Processing

Part 2
May 2016

ISBN: 978-0-939459-89-6
© 2016 Parenteral Drug Association, Inc.
All rights reserved.





WARNING LETTER Examples

Aseptic Operations – Behaviors



“Your firm failed to establish and follow appropriate written procedures that are designed to prevent microbiological contamination of drug products purporting to be sterile, and that include validation of all aseptic and sterilization processes (21 CFR 211.113(b)).”


January 26, 2018 Warning Letter cited poor aseptic behavior, such as reaching over open vials and disrupting unidirectional airflow, without removing affected units; failure to include set-up and routine aseptic manipulations and interventions in smoke studies; rejection of integral vials during a media fill that would not have otherwise been removed during production; not all personnel authorized to enter aseptic processing were required to participate in a media fill at least once a year; no procedures for training and qualification of personnel performing examination of media fill units; and lack of active air monitoring in ISO 5 areas.

Also described failure to thoroughly investigate 140 complaints of a particular defect.

www.fda.gov

<https://www.fda.gov/ICECI/EnforcementActions/WarningLetters/ucm594395.htm> 18






“Your firm failed to establish an adequate system for monitoring environmental conditions in aseptic processing areas (21 CFR 211.42(c)(10)(iv)).”


May 31, 2018 Warning Letter cited several environmental monitoring plates from ISO 5 and ISO 8 areas which exceeded action limits, and for which no investigations were initiated (and “your firm had reported no results outside limits for over a year prior to the inspection date”); inadequate collection of surface samples, which “had been occurring for approximately 1–2 years”; late and missed stability pulls, for which no investigation was raised; failure to include 15 investigations of product yield failure in annual product reviews.

www.fda.gov

<https://www.fda.gov/ICECI/EnforcementActions/WarningLetters/ucm609829.htm>

21





“Lack of sterility assurance”

July 10, 2018, FDA alerted health care professionals, patients, veterinarians, and animal owners of a voluntary recall following our observation of insanitary conditions and poor sterile production practices at a particular firm.

Our **follow-up inspection** to a **March, 2017 Warning Letter** found personnel touching non-sterile surfaces without sanitizing their hands or changing gloves prior to returning to the ISO 5 hood; handling components with bare hands while disinfecting those items, prior to placement in the pass through; failure to use a sporicidal disinfectant at the appropriate concentration and contact time; use of non-sterile cleaning wipes in ISO 5; and white residue on the face panel of the HEPA filter supplying air to the ISO 5 area, as well as dirt and residue on the floor of the ISO 7 area. On **June 7, 2018, the firm informed FDA it was ceasing sterile operations.**

[www.fda.gov](https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm612911.htm) <https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm612911.htm> 27



Common Warning Letter(s) from FDA

- A comprehensive risk assessment of all contamination hazards with respect to your aseptic processes, equipment, and facilities, including an independent assessment that includes, but is not limited to:
 - All human interactions within the ISO 5 area
 - Equipment placement and ergonomics
 - Air quality in the ISO 5 area and surrounding rooms
 - Facility layout
 - Personnel Flows and Material Flows (throughout all rooms used to conduct and support sterile operations)



END

Thank You