

Container Closure Integrity: Regulations, Test Methods, Application - Test Methods: Fundamentals

Instructors

Coralie Richard, Ph.D.; Eli Lilly and Company; coralie.richard@lilly.com

Allison Dill, Ph.D.; Eli Lilly and Company; dillal@lilly.com

With significant contribution from Dr. Dana M. Guazzo PhD, RxPax, LLC, dguazzo@rxpax.com



Outline


Test methods Fundamentals

- CCI testing principles
- Leak and Positive controls
- Gas flow: flow rate & leak size
- Correlation between leak size (flow rate) and microbial contamination risk

CCI Testing Technology Overview

Most advanced CCIT technologies rely on gas flow:

- More reliable (for micron-size leaks)
- More predictable, some are quantitative
- **Smallest** leaks only allow: Gas flow
- **Larger** leaks may also allow: Liquid flow
- **Largest** leaks may also allow: Microbial ingress



CCI Testing Technology Overview

| Technology | Test Medium | Typical Challenge Condition | Response | Detection |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Microbial Challenge | Liquid flow (Microbial species) | Pressure differential | Turbidity due to microbe growth | Visual |
| Dye ingress | Liquid flow (Dye solution) | Pressure differential | Dye presence | Visual or spectrophotometer |
| Vacuum Decay | Gas flow | Pressure differential | Pressure change | Pressure transducer |
| Mass Extraction | Gas flow | Pressure differential | Mass flow | Mass flow sensor |
| Headspace (e.g., oxygen) Analyzer | Gas flow (e.g., O ₂) | Partial pressure differential (e.g., O ₂) | Oxygen | Laser absorption spectroscopy |
| Helium Leak Detection | Gas flow (Helium) | Pressure differential | Helium | Mass spectrometer |
| Optical Emission Spectrometry | Gas Flow (e.g. N ₂ , CO ₂ , Ar, H ₂ O) | Pressure differential | Air Leakage | OES |
| High Voltage Leak detection | Electron flow (Current) | High voltage | Current (electron flow) | Current to voltage converter |

CCI Testing Technology Overview


- Most CCIT technologies do not detect leak (defects) directly
- Instead, they detect presence of leak by monitoring the **biological and/or physiochemical responses** caused by a medium passing through the leaking path, typically driven by certain challenge conditions
- Technology detection performance depends on:
 - Test medium: Liquid (with microbe/dye tracer) vs. gas
 - Challenge conditions: Pressure differential, high voltage
 - Response detection methods

Leak and Leak Standards


- **Leak**
 - A gap or breach in the container capable of permitting the passage of liquid or gas (Syn. “Leak path”).
 - Real world leaks are usually complex, featuring various shapes, length, forms; some are transient and can change over time.

- **Common Leak Standards (Positive Controls)**
 - Pulled Glass Capillary Tip (Micropipette)
 - Glass Capillary Tube
 - Laser drilled defect

Pulled Glass Capillary Tip (Micropipette)



Top view showing the tip orifice




Side view showing approximate length

Glass tube with the tip pulled into micron size capillary

Glass Capillary Tube





Image courtesy of Polymicro Technologies by Molex[®]



Uniform size through the length of the capillary tube


Laser Drilled Defect (Elastomer/Plastics)





- Laser drilled defect can be readily created in plastics or elastomer materials
- Defect size may change upon applying stress on elastomer materials (e.g., insertion in syringe barrel)

Laser-drilled Defects in Glass


Glass Syringe Defects by Lenox Laser




106





124




Nominal hole size 10 µm



107



136






Nominal hole size 15 µm

Nominal hole size 5 µm

The laser-drilled defects in glass are not 'ideal' defects but realistic 'tortuous path' defects

Laser Drilled Defect (Glass)

Example image of a laser drilled defect on the laser exit (i.e., glass interior surface)



Example image of a laser drilled defect on the laser entrance side (i.e., glass exterior surface)

LASER

- Laser drilled defects in glass are not well-defined holes of a uniform size.
- Some may be made to approximate “holes” while others may resemble a network of cracks – both are valuable as they represent specific types of “real-world” defects.
- Know the laser drill processes and the defect characteristics.

Which Type of Defect Should I Use?

- Use positive controls that best resemble “real-world” defects of interest to demonstrate method effectiveness.
- Examples: To demonstrate the method is capable of detecting 10um defects.

| Defect Type of interest | Positive Controls (10um) |
|--|---|
| IV bag leaks caused by punctures/ abrasion | Laser drilled pinhole on the bag film with ~10um ID (measured by microscopic imaging) |
| Poor seals or micro-channels on the IV bag seams | 10um capillary tube of similar length glued into the seam (the 10um ID can be microscopically verified) |
| Cracks in a vial glass wall (~2mm thick) | Laser drilled irregular glass defects, calibrated to be ~10um using gas flow rate per USP method |

Characterization of Leaks

- Most industries uses leak flow rate to characterize a leak
 - Leak flow rate directly correlated to the material loss through the leak (e.g., compressed natural gas pipeline leaks)
 - Leakage Flow Rate is a measure of the rate of gas flow (mass or volume units) which passes through a leak path under defined conditions of temperature and/or absolute or partial pressure gradient of leaking matter that exists across the package barrier
- Gas leak flow rates are usually expressed in standard cubic centimeter per second (sccs) under standard conditions (temperature 273K, pressure 760 torr)
 - Other units are also widely used

| Pascal Cubic Meter Per Second | Standard Cubic Centimeter Per Second | Millibar Liter Per second | Torr Liter Per Second |
|--|--|--|--|
| $\text{Pa} \cdot \text{m}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ | Std $\text{cm}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ (Alternatively, sccs) | $\text{mb} \cdot \text{L} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ | $\text{torr} \cdot \text{L} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ |
| 1 | 9.87 (≈ 10) | 1.00×10^1 | 7.50 |

Jackson CN, Sherlock CN, Moore PO, Nondestructive Testing Handbook, 3rd ed. Vol 1 Leak Testing, American Society for Nondestructive Testing, Inc. 1998

“Sizing” Leaks

Flow vs Size?



- “Leak size” is widely used in pharmaceutical industry as a key characteristic for leaks largely due to its close association with microbial ingress risk
- Dimensionally sizing natural “real-world” leaks is not always practical nor precise.
 - Leaks are commonly thought of as HOLES or CHANNELS. But natural leaks are complex, multi-cavity, tortuous paths (rarely uniform)
 - Size alone does not fully define a “real-world” natural defect
- “Sizing”, although not a comprehensive and precise characterization of a defect, is still useful because it allows for a rough and simplified assessment of microbial ingress risks

Methods for “Sizing” Defects Characterization

- Direct microscopic dimensional measurement
 - Usually applies to simple “regular” natural defects (e.g., pin holes on an IV bag film) or positive control defects (e.g., capillary tubes)
- Calibration via gas leak flow rate measurement
 - Usually for complex “real-world” defects (e.g., glass cracks)
 - A “nominal” size can be obtained by comparing the gas flow rate of the defect against known leak standards (e.g., NIST-traceable leak standards)
 - Two types of leak standards are commonly used – Need to specify
 - Orifice (a pin-hole of known size with essentially no depth)
 - Capillary (with known uniform ID and Length)
- Calibration vis gas diffusion rate (or headspace changes) measurement
 - Gas diffusion and headspace composition modeling are usually acceptable



Sizing Based on Gas Leak Flow Rate – Calibration using orifice leak standards


For orifice leaks (i.e., leaks with essentially no “depth”, a.k.a. sharp edge defects)

$$Flow\ Rate \propto d^2$$

- Flow rate usually measured at a fully “choked” conditions to simplify the calculation.
- Methodology is included in USP<1207>.
- Nominal sizes can be easily calculated using the flow rate equation or online calculators.

Calculator Examples:

<https://lenoxlaser.com/resources/calculators/orifice-calculator/>



Gas Flow Calculator

Orifice Diameter DISABLED DISABLED

Missing: Inlet Pressure, Outlet Pressure, Temperature and Flow Rate
All pressure values are assumed to be absolute value (except psig).

Inlet Pressure psig

Outlet Pressure psig

Gas Type* Air

Molecular Weight* 28.96

Temperature Fahrenheit

Flow Rate std cubic cm/min

Orifice Diameter Micron

Calculate

* Please register to unlock these options. Register here.
See our calculator app on the Google Play Store.



Gas Leak Flow Rate vs. Orifice Size

| Row | Air Leakage Rate ^a (stdcm ³ /s) | Orifice Leak Size ^b (μm) |
|-----|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | <1.4 x E-6 | <0.1 |
| 2 | 1.4 x E-6 to 1.4 x E-4 | 0.1 to 1.0 |
| 3 | >1.4 x E-4 to 3.6 x E-3 | >1.0 to 5.0 |
| 4 | >3.6 x E-3 to 1.4 x E-2 | >5.0 to 10.0 |
| 5 | >1.4 x E-2 to 0.36 | >10.0 to 50.0 |
| 6 | >0.36 | >50.0 |

^A Dry air leakage rate measured at 1 atm differential pressure across an orifice leak (i.e., leak inlet pressure of 1 atm versus outlet pressure of approximately 1 Torr) at 25 . The theoretical correlations of orifice sizes to air leakage rates were provided by Lenox Laser, Glen Arm, MD. Leakage rates are approximation ranges.

^B Nominal diameter orifice sizes assume a leak path of negligible length. Orifice sizes are approximation ranges.

Sizing Based on Gas Flow Rate – Calibration using capillary leak standards

For defects with significant length (L)

Gas Flow Model EXAMPLES

- Hagen-Poiseuille viscous flow (barometric, shallow vacuum)

$$Q = \frac{128}{\pi} \frac{d^4}{L} \times \frac{P_{IN} - P_{OUT}}{\mu}$$

- Knudsen model for molecular flow (small defects, hard vacuum)

$$\dot{m} = \frac{\pi d^3}{\sqrt{2RT}} * \frac{P_{IN} - P_{OUT}}{L}$$

P_{in} - pressure inside package;

P_{out} - pressure inside chamber;

μ - Viscosity; T - Temperature; R - Specific Gas Constant

Flow (Q-volumetric flow, mass flow)

$$= f(d, \text{Length}, P_{in}, P_{out}, T)$$


Kept constant

- Nominal sizes can be readily obtained experimentally by comparing flow rates of samples against those of known capillary standards (preferably of similar length L)



Sizing Based on Headspace Changes


1 atm air (79% N₂; 21% O₂)



Diffusion (driven by N₂ partial pressure differential)



1 atm air (79% N₂; 21% O₂)




Air flow
(driven by absolute pressure differential)



Diffusion



Sizing Based on Gas Diffusion Rate (or Headspace Gas Concentration Change)



USP <1207> states: “Mathematical models appropriate to leak flow dynamics may be used to predict the time required for detecting leaks of various sizes or rates.”

$$\vec{J} = -D\vec{\nabla}n \quad \text{Fick's 1st Law}$$

$$\frac{\partial P_i(t)}{\partial t} = \frac{-D \cdot A_0}{V} \frac{\partial P_i(z, t)}{\partial z}$$

The change in oxygen will be exponential with respect to time

$$P_{\text{oxygen}}(t) = 20.9\%(1 - \exp(-\alpha t))$$

The Ingress Rate is a function of the Diffusion Coefficient, the container Volume and the defect cross-sectional Area and Depth


Ingress Rate

$$\alpha = \frac{D \cdot A_0}{l \cdot V} \left[s^{-1} \right]$$

D. Duncan, Lighthouse Instruments

Example: Headspace Oxygen % vs. Leak Size



The linear regression fit (RSQ) for each line was 0.99 or higher for each set of data



Laser-drilled holes in thin metal plates are well-defined defects. They can therefore be used to generate 'calibration data' for gas ingress dynamics through a defect into a container.

D. Duncan, Lighthouse Instruments

Reporting Leak Size



- “Nominal” size – a useful tool for communication with key stakeholders (e.g., business leaders, regulatory agency microbiology reviewers)
- Many methodologies can be used to “size” a leak – the resultant nominal sizes may not in full agreement
- No consensus methodologies haven bee established yet
- When stating leak sizes (or reporting package integrity), it is important to **define the measurement approach – Be transparent!**

Examples: Reporting Leak Size

Example 1. An intentional leak size-certified in gas flow rate terms.

A laser-drilled hole = 10.3 μm , certified that the air flow rate through this defect matches that of a same diameter pre-drilled hole in a thin metal plate measured at defined pressure and temperature conditions.


- *Comment: This statement indicates that the intentional leak sample is characterized using USP 1207 methodology and therefore is suitable for use as a positive control in method development and validation studies*

Example 2. Unintentional leak(s) directly sized in gas flow rate terms.

A test package containing 100% helium (flooded prior to closure) demonstrated a helium leak rate of 1×10^{-7} mbarL/s, when tested using a helium mass spectrometer, at 1 atmosphere differential pressure and ambient temperature.

- *Comment: This statement indicates that resultant helium leak rate is obtained using the identical methodology by Lee Kirsch as referenced in USP 1207. Therefore, the measured leak rate can be directly compared against the MALL to demonstrate container integrity.*

Detection of Leaks Critical to Microbial Ingress



Burrell L. S., et. al. *PDA J Pharm Sci Tech* 54, 449-455


What is a “Calibrated Leak”?

- **Definition** for CCIT case:
- Calibrated leak is the opening in wall of a container in a shape of orifice or crack that gives a specific flow rate for a given gas or liquid at given pressures applied to both sides of the material wall where the leak is made.

What is a “Calibrated Leak”?


Definition for CCIT case: Calibrated leak is the opening in wall of a container in a shape of orifice or crack that gives a specific flow rate for a given gas or liquid at given pressures applied to both sides of the material wall where the leak is made.

This style of laser drilling severely damages the piece and changes the properties of the material surrounding the drilled hole.



This style of laser drilling with minimal damage to the piece. This is possible due to the creation of plasma in a technique called cold ablation.

Slide courtesy of Greg Soylar, Lenox Laser



Cross Section




Bottom View





Top View

Slide courtesy of Greg Soyler, Lenox Laser

Interwoven Plastic Bag



Plastic Bag (20 μm Thick)



Slide courtesy of Greg Soylar, Lenox Laser