## Optical Emission Spectroscopy, an innovative technology for CCIT

#### Instructor:

• *Philippe BUNOD*, *PhD.*; *Pfeiffer Vacuum; philippe.bunod*@pfeiffer-vacuum.com Business Development Pharma Europe – Integrity Test Solutions



CONNECTING PEOPLE SCIENCE AND REGULATION®



## Structure

- 1. Pfeiffer Vacuum 3 CCIT technologies/equipment's
- 2. Optical Emission Spectroscopy :
  - How does it work ?
  - Influence and limiting factors
  - Advantages
  - Detection of gross leaks
- 3. Case study #1: Low temperature measurements with O.E.S.
- 4. Case study #2: Test of Auto-Injectors.
- 5. Conclusion





## Global leak test under vacuum







## **3 technologies for CCIT Solutions**

#### MICRO-FLOW AND MASS EXTRACTION

Micro-flow sensor

#### HELIUM MASS SPECTROMETRY

Magnetic deflection spectrometer

#### OPTICAL EMISSION SPECTROMETRY

Multi-gas analyser (N2,, CO2,, Ar, H20,..)





ASTM F2391-05

USP











## 3 technologies/equipment's in our CCIT portfolio



Micro-flow sensor

#### HELIUM MASS SPECTROMETRY

Magnetic deflection spectrometer

#### OPTICAL EMISSION SPECTROMETRY

Multi-gas analyser (N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, Ar, H<sub>2</sub>0,..)









Emerging Technology







## O.E.S. – Operating principle

The Earth's invisible magnetic barrier prevents the energy given off by the Sun from boiling away our oceans and dissipating our life-sustaining atmosphere.

The northern lights (aurora borealis) are caused by solar wind and storms can generally be seen at about 70 degrees of latitude, near the Arctic circle.







#### O.E.S. – Operating principle







## O.E.S. – Operating principle







#### O.E.S. – Real time measurement



The air  $(N_2)$  inside the test chamber can be easily evacuated using vacuum pumps.

Moisture ( $H_20 \rightarrow H \& OH$ ) is much more difficult to evacuate because it is coming from out-gassing of materials in contact with vacuum.





## Massive leak detection prior to OES



- AMI includes a Massive Leak Detection module (>100µm)
  - GO/NOGO test = qualitative measurement.

#### • For Dry Filled Products, based on a volumetric method:

- Pressure equilibrium between a vacuum tank and the test chamber.
- The test chamber is designed in order to minimize the free volume around the sample and the vacuum tank is sized accordingly.

#### • For Liquid Filled Products, based on deep vacuum decay:

- Pressure must be below the vapor pressure of liquid.
- Free volume around the sample is not anymore an issue.

#### Massive Leak Detection prevents equipment contamination

- In case of Gross Leak (air/<u>liquid</u>), the high vacuum circuit and OES sensor are not contaminated. Only few components can be easily cleaned and dry.





#### OES – Typical air leakage signal (raw data)



The air leakage corresponds to the intensity ratio  $[N_2/H]$ 

In case of fine leak, the air leakage signal  $(N_2/H)$  increases as out-gassing (OH & H) is decreasing with time.

In case of gross leak (>100µm for 1cc headspace), depending on the headspace volume we can see the container being evacuated.





## A set of 2 capillaries is used for calibration





- The air leakage provided by the capillaries depending on the CDA inlet pressure set up by the automatic pressure reducer.
- The M1 gauge measures the exact pressure just among the capillaries
- · Calibration certificates are delivered for capillaries & pressure gauge



Calibrated Leak for Air







#### A quantitative and calibrated leakage measurement !







## OES – Influence & limiting factors

For all vacuum test solutions: Detection limit 🔪 when Test Duration 🎢

- Out-gassing limit the sensitivity of the test, it can be minimized by:
  - Design of Container and test chamber (material, surface, roughness)
  - Controlling operating conditions: temperature (°C) and humidity (<30%RH)
  - Increasing the test duration...
- Gross leak detection can be challenging in case of small gas headspace volume combined with a low out-gassing solid drug.
  - Specific Massive Leak test can be performed prior to O.E.S measurements





## No sample preparation – Automatic test sequence

- 1/. Part loading (manually or automatically)
- 2/. Chamber evacuation (1000 → few mbar) (Massive Leak detection)
- 3/. Chamber pumping (few mbar  $\rightarrow$  <10<sup>-4</sup> mbar)
- 4/. O.E.S. measurement start when pressure is  $< 10^{-2}$  mbar
- 5/. Chamber venting (with Ambient air, dry N<sub>2</sub> or Argon)
- 6/. Part unloading









#### **O.E.S. - Fast and sensitive**



The Air Leakage raw signal corresponds to the intensity ratio  $[N_2/H]$ .

OES (Ambiant air venting) 23°C / 50% RH			
Detection Limit	Total Test Duration		
	Leak-3σ Blank+ <b>3σ</b>	Leak-3σ Blank+ <b>6σ</b>	
2 µm	10 sec	10 sec	
1 µm	10 sec	10 sec	
0,5µm	10 sec	14 sec	





## O.E.S. – Method is volume independent

 $\rightarrow$  0.5µm defect (sharp edge orifice) can de detected in a batch of 10 vials and/or on 1 vial in the same test chamber.







## O.E.S. – Measurements are volume independent

Sensitivity are is not impacted by:

- container expansion during the test
- $\rightarrow$  Tests can be performed on semi-rigid containers
- free space in the chamber around the container
- $\rightarrow$  Test of complex design devices (i.e. AI)
- $\rightarrow$  Possibility of batch testing to increase the throughput







#### Case #1: Low Temperature Test (-80°C)



# Low temperature Module to test closed containers









## Low Temperature Test – Sample preparation

Tracer gas charging procedure









700



#### Low Temperature Test: O.E.S versus Helium



→ Loss of integrity around -60°C can be detected with both test methods O.E.S. and Helium





#### Low Temperature Test: O.E.S versus Helium

	Ø Helium	🥟 0.E.S.	
Tracer gas	Helium	Gas naturally present into headspace $(N_2, CO_2, Ar,)$	
Sample preparation	Need to charge He and to control [He]	No sample preparation	
Sensitivity	> 1.10 <sup>-9</sup> mbar.l/s	> 5.10 <sup>-6</sup> mbar.l/s	
Measurement	Continuous leakage measurements during temperature drop		
	Do not require any tracer gas bombing stage to speed up the test 🛛 🔨		
	He permeation need to be considered	Minimum permeation impact	
	Destructive	Non-destructive	





## Case #2: Test of Auto-Injectors



- Detection of small Air leakage or Large Water leakage at the needle shield → bigger risk during the assembly process !
- Water leaks at the plunger
  - ightarrow Difficult to detect with the 3 sealing rings in serie









#### O.E.S. to test Auto-injectors

In a single test sequence O.E.S. is able to: - measure air leakage > 1 µm orifice

#### and during the same test sequence

 detect water leak > 5 µm (qualitative test)

within about 45 seconds !







#### Conclusions

#### **GENERAL FEATURES**



#### **Direct measurement**

Specific gas leakage escaping from a container under vacuum



#### Non-destructive testing



#### Deterministic method

Deterministic method / Calibrated Measurements



Simple and easy to use No sample preparation required



Repeatability Reduces false failures, a very costly issue



#### UNIQUE FEATURES WITH O.E.S.





#### **Selectivity** Can detect air(N<sub>2</sub>) and water leaks simultaneously



#### **Speed of test** Much faster than other vacuum tests <15 sec to measure 0.4µm orifice on 20ml vial.



## Low Temperature measurements (down to -80°C and below)





## Conclusions

• AMI equipments, using O.E.S. spectroscopy have been qualified as IPC test for blister packs (sensitive inhalation drugs).

• Promising technology to perform high sensitivity 100% in-line leak testing.

 $\rightarrow$  0.4µm defect (sharp edge orifice) can be detected in 30 sec on glass vial tested one by one or by batch of up to 10, 50, 100.









Links http://www.pfeiffer-vacuum.com Email : philippe.bunod@pfeiffer-vacuum.com



