pda.org

Theory 9

Dr. Julian Lenger

Scientific Head in Drug Product Development at Bayer AG

julianh.lenger@gmail.com

PDA EU Freeze – Drying in Practice

12 – 16 June 2023 Martin Christ Osterode am Harz, Germany

Adapted from slides originally created by and with courtesy of PD Dr. Andrea Allmendinger





Controlled nucleation



- Increases inter-/intra-batch- and vial-to-vial homogeneity
- Shorter primary drying
- Better stability (?)

PDA

Connecting People, Science and Regulation®

Review: Geidobler R, Winter G. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2013 Oct;85(2):214-22 **Drying time**





Video1_lceFog.wmv

Com1ecting People, Science and Regulation

Video taken from Gitter JH, Geidobler R, Presser I, Winter G. A Comparison of Controlled Ice Nucleation Techniques for Freeze-Drying of a Therapeutic Antibody. *J Pharm Sci.* **2018**;107(11):2748–54. Copyright © 2018 American Pharmacists Association.



Uncontrolled ice nucleation

Controlled ice nucleation

Connecting People, Science and Regulation®

Gitter JH, Geidobler R, Presser I, Winter G. A Comparison of Controlled Ice Nucleation Techniques for Freeze-Drying of a Therapeutic Antibody. *J Pharm Sci.* **2018**;107(11):2748–54.

Freezing – Annealing/Thermal treatment

Annealing = hold step at $T_s > T_g$ to allow for (complete) crystallization of potentially crystalline components

- Mainly used in formulations with crystalline bulking agents (e.g., Mannitol or Glycine)
- Allows for crystallization of potentially crystalline excipients in the freezing step and prevents crystallization during (primary) drying and has been shown to increase chemical stability
- Only partial crystallization of potentially crystalline excipients may impair product stability after lyo
- Literature recommendation (Tang, Pikal, Pharm. Res., 2004):
 - o Apply regular freezing procedure
 - Allow for complete solidifaction by hold times of 1-2h
 - Bring product temperature to 10 °C 20 °C above T_{g} , but well below T_{eu}
 - Allow for complete solidifcation afterwards again before starting with primary drying
 - $\circ~$ Example annealing step for Mannitol/Glycine: T_s = 20 °C or -15 °C for >= 2h



Annealing in amorphous formulations: Jim A. Searles. Freezing and Annealing Phenomena in Lyophilization published in Freeze Drying/Lyophilization of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products. Vol. 206, 3rd edition. T. Kharatyan et al. Quantitative Analysis of Glassy Relaxation and Ostwald Ripening during Annealing Using Freeze-Drying Microscopy. Pharmaceutics. 2022;14(6), 1176.

Literature recommendation for design of DSC mesaurements: Pansare SK, Patel SM. Practical Considerations for Determination of Glass Transition Temperature of

a Maximally Freeze Concentrated Solution. AAPS PharmSciTech. 2016;17(4):805–19. Connecting People, Science and Regulation





MDPI

Literature recommendation: Article



Jacob Luoma ^{1,†}, Erika Ingham ¹, Carmen Lema Martinez ² and Andrea Allmendinger ^{2,3,*,†}

Controlled Ice Nucleation during Lyophilization

- Comparison of Nucleation Techniques and their Impact on Protein Stability

Andrea Allmendinger and Jake Luoma

Pharmaceutical Development Roche/Genentech, Basel/San Francisco



Roch

Conference Freeze-Drying of Pharmaceuticals and Biologics Garmisch-Patenkirchen, September 2018

Reprint of slides originally created by and with courtesy of PD Dr. Andrea Allmendinger

Outline





Outline





Standard freezing step



Roche



Nucleation temperature impacts cake structure, CQAs, and cycle time



+ Increase inter-vial homogeneity

- + Shorter primary drying
- + Improved cake appearance

Cons of CIN

- Higher residual moisture
- Intra-vial homogeneity
- Additional process step

Geidobler et al.: Controlled ice nucleation in the field of freeze drying: Fundamentals and technology review. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 85(2):214-22. (2013).

 \rightarrow Lower vial-to-vial variability reduces scale differences and improves confidence in technical transfers especially for products which are difficult to lyophilize like molecules which are sensitive to moisture or surface area

Technologies for controlling ice nucleation



- Techniques used in the following case study



12



Controlled ice nucleation - Modes of operation



Outline





Nucleation temperatures achieved



Overview of nucleation temperatures for different formulations.

	Total solid content	Vial format (cc)	Nominal fill (mL)	Highest controlled nucleation temperature		
Protein conc.				achieved		
				Depressurization	Partial vacuum	Ice fog
10 mg/mL	9%	2	1	Failure to nucleate (UCN)	-5	-5
mAb		20	10	-5	-5	-5
		50	20	-5	-5	-5
2 100 mg/mL mAb	18%	2	1	Failure to nucleate (UCN)	-15	n.p.
		20	10	-5	-15	-5
		50	20	-5	-15	n.p.
	L 11%	6	0.9	-10	-5	n.p.
enzyme		20	10	-5	-5	n.p.
		50	20	-10	-15	-10
	Protein conc. 10 mg/mL mAb 100 mg/mL mAb 2.5 mg/mL enzyme	Protein conc.Total solid content10 mg/mL mAb9%100 mg/mL mAb18%100 mg/mL mAb18%	Protein conc.Total solid contentVial format (cc)10 mg/mL mAb9%220202050100 mg/mL mAb18%2100 mg/mL mAb20100 mg/mL mAb62050205020502050	Protein conc.Total solid contentVial format (cc)Nominal fill (mL)10 mg/mL mAb9%2120101020105020100 mg/mL mAb18%2120105020100 mg/mL mAb18%201050201050202.5 mg/mL enzyme11%20105020105020	Protein conc.Total solid contentVial format (cc)Nominal fill (mL)Highest controlled a Depressurization 10 mg/mL mAb 9% 2 1 Failure to nucleate (UCN)Failure to nucleate (UCN) 100 mg/mL mAb 9% 20 10 -5 100 mg/mL mAb 18% 2 1 Failure to nucleate (UCN) 100 mg/mL mAb 18% 2 10 -5 100 mg/mL mAb 18% 2 10 -5 100 mg/mL mAb 18% 2 10 -5 2.5 mg/mL enzyme 11% 6 0.9 -10 2.5 mg/mL enzyme 11% 20 10 -5 50 20 -10 -5 50 20 -5	Protein conc.Total solid contentVial format (cc)Nominal fill (mL)Highest controlled ucleation is $BepressurizationPartialvacuum10 mg/mLmAb9\%21Failure tonucleate (UCN)Partialvacuum10 mg/mLmAb9\%2010-5-52010-5-5-5-55020-5-5-5-5100 mg/mLmAb18\%2010-5-52010-5-15-15-155020-5-15-15-155020-5-15-15-152.5 mg/mLenzyme11\%2010-5-55020-10-5-5-55020-10-5-5-52.5 mg/mLenzyme11\%2010-5-55020-10-5-5-55020-10-5-5-55020-10-5-5-55020-10-5-5-55020-10-5-5-55020-10-5-5-55020-10-5-5-55020-10-5-5-55020-10-5-5-55020-10-5-5-550$

n.p. = not performed, UCN = uncontrolled nucleated

- Depressurization method struggled with 2cc vials
- Partial vacuum method struggled with Formulation 2/3 (high total solids)

Nucleation at different temperatures



- cake appearance and macroscopic cake structure

Formulation 2: 100 mg/mL mAb, nucleation temperature: -5°C and -15°C



• Nucleation ten degrees apart resulted in large changes in cake structure and macroscopic cake structure

Nucleation at different temperatures



22

- cake appearance and macroscopic cake structure

Formulation 2: 100 mg/mL mAb, nucleation temperature: -5°C and -15°C



- Nucleation ten degrees apart resulted in large changes in cake structure and macroscopic cake structure
- Depressurization and Ice fog samples revealed crystal-like patterns but differed to each other

Summary





- Robustness testing for formulation and vial configuration revealed
 - Depressurization method struggled with 2cc vials
 - Partial vacuum method struggled with formulation with very high total solid content



 Nucleation at the same temperature resulted in comparable solid state properties like residual moisture and specific surface area, which directly relates to stability behavior dependent on the molecule studied



 Specific example showed that macroscopic structure (top layer) may be different between nucleation techniques, which may impact drying behavior, and is currently further studied

Take-home message



- Each technology has limitations
 - Depending on vial format and formulation you may need to nucleate at lower temperatures to ensure robust nucleation, which triggers formulation and configuration dependent process development
 - If operating conditions result in microcollapse, comparability between material produced with the different CIN technologies is not guaranteed
- Each technology has different installation and operation requirements like availability, location and size of ports or availability of liquid nitrogen