pda.org



Mastering AVI

Part8: Visual inspection life-cycle and control strategy

- Integration of visual inspection into overall manufacturing process
- Elements of lifecycle
- Particle identification/characterization
- Defect libraries as dynamic database
- AQL and control charting



Instructor Lead: Romain Veillon / Fernand Koert / Sébastien Koch / Aurélien Genet



© Copyright PDA Author Romain Veillon



Mastering Automated Visual Inspection

.....control strategy is key









AVI Equipment is part of an overall VI process









Visual inspection program in 3 layers:

- -The Core is AVI/MVI program, with strategy for DML / standard work / certification / validation
- -The control strategy with ctrl chart and AQL guarantees that VI is kept under control
- Continuous improvement is the goal of all VI activities with CAPA mngt. The Particle management is a key to success with particle control and associated WOW & education, product life cycle approach









Control strategy







Why defect trending is key ?



Key take-away: SPC trend chart is a way to control absence of drift of VI process





Why a robust SPC is key for VI?

Use of ctrl chart necessary because VI is a Markov like process (probabilistic)



Use of P' ctrl chart very powerful to track any drift or atypical lot

$$UCL = \overline{p} + 3\sqrt{\frac{\overline{p}(1-\overline{p})}{n_i}} \qquad UCL = \overline{p} + 3\sqrt{\frac{p}{n_i}}$$

• 3 sigma probability follow binomial law

with 99,7% proportion of defective units



Key take-away: AVI is probabilistic So it is key to control source contamination upstream even if AVI is validated





Type of control charting







Continuous Performance Monitoring

Control Strategy- Ctrl chart

Take AWAY: Even with a low probability of detection (non NULL!!) the UCL limit is the strength of the control strategy, it has the ability to discard atypical lots in term of occurrences. It has a far lower detection than AQL Even with probabilistic detection ctrl chart can detect atypical lots





Batch order





AQL Sampling

- AQL done in MVI
- AVI qualification is compared to MVI reference
- Use ISO tables
- AQL is a quality decision test
- AQL is under quality unit reponsibility





AQL Sampling and OC curve



- Acceptable quality level (AQL) Acceptable fraction defective in a lot
- Lot tolerance percent defective (LTPD or RQL) Maximum fraction defective accepted in a lot
- Producer's risk, α
 - Type I error = P(reject a lot|probability (defective)=AQL)
 - AQL allow to ensure with a sufficient level of confidence the process does not reject « good batches » - Supplier risk
- Consumer's risk, β

Type II error = P(accept a lot| probability(defective)=LTPD or RQL)

The parameter ensuring that process does not release « bad batches » is the UQL (also called RQL, or LTPD) – Customer risk

<u>Key learning:</u> AQL are always associated to RQL in an OC curve, this is the patient risk

CONNECTING PEOPLE SCIENCE *** REGULATION*



A Management and Black Belt Guide for Going Beyond Lean Six Sigma and the Balanced Scorecard, Forrest W. Breyfogle III, Bridgeway Books/Citius Publishing, Austin, TX, 2008.

CONNECTIN

SCIENCE^{AII}













AQL Sampling and ISO tables

				_					-				described.		0.000		1.111.01.000			10.110.000			-				
Sample size code letter						Acce	eptance	e qualit	y limit,	AQL, I	n perce	ent non	conform	ming it	ems an	d nonc	onform	nities p	er 100	items (normal	inspe	ction)				
	Sample size	0.010 0.015		0,025	0,040	0,065	0,10	0,15	0,25	0,40	0,65	1,0	1,5	2,5	4,0	6,5	10	15	25	40	65	100	150	250	400	650	1 000
		Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re	Ac Re
Α	2	Π	Π	Π	Π	Π	Π	Π	Π	Π	Π	Π	Π	Π	∿	0 1	Π	∿	1 2	2 3	3 4	5 6	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	30 31
в	3													Ŷ	0 1	ټ	∜	1 2	23	34	5 6	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	30 31	44 45
с	5												∜	0 1	ټ	ዏ	1 2	2 3	34	5 6	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	30 31	44 45	
D	8				111							Ŷ	0 1	↔	₽	1 2	2 3	3 4	5 6	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	30 31	44 45	介	
E	13										Ŷ	0 1	৵	∿	1 2	2 3	34	56	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	30 31	44 45	俞		
F	20									Ŷ	0 1	↔	♧	1 2	2 3	34	56	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	Î	介	介			
G	32								4	0 1	أ	♦	12	2 3	3 4	5 6	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	Ŷ						רורו
н	50							Ŷ	0 1	أ	✨	1 2	2 3	34	5 6	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	俞							
3	80						∜	0 1	ټ	∿	1 2	2 3	3 4	56	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	Î								
к	125					Ŷ	0 1	ټ	ዏ	1 2	2 3	3 4	5 6	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	介							1		
L	200				1	0 1	أ	❖	12	2 3	3 4	5 6	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	介										
м	315			4	0 1	ټ	∿	1 2	2 3	34	56	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	Î											
N	500		介	0 1	↔	∿	1 2	2 3	34	56	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	î												
Р	800	4	0 1	₽	∿	1 2	2 3	34	56	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	î													
Q	1 250	0 1	<u>Î</u>	∿	12	2 3	3 4	5 6	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	Î														
R	2 000	↔	U	1 2	2 3	34	5 6	78	10 11	14 15	21 22	�	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0	U

Table 2-A — Single sampling plans for normal inspection (Master table)

🕹 = Use the first sampling plan below the arrow. If sample size equals, or exceeds, lot size, carry out 100 % inspection.

 $\mathbf{\hat{v}}$ = Use the first sampling plan above the arrow.

Ac = Acceptance number

Re = Rejection number





Why do we need to perform Tightened AQL in special cases ?











18





You have learnt Control strategy

- Integration of visual inspection into overall manufacturing process
- Elements of lifecycle
- Particle identification/
 characterization
- Defect libraries as dynamic database
- AQL and control charting

Control strategy

- Why do we need continuous improvement
- Why is defect trending key
- Which chart is commonly used
- What's the advantage Control Chart
 over AQL
- Why do we need to perform Tightened AQL in special cases ?

