

<1211> The Revisions

Started Here: Sterilization at a more basic level: more instruction, less standardization
Individual chapters on each sterilization method: allows for easier

- revision.
- revision.
 Separate gas & vapor sterilization; Separate dry heat sterilization & deprogenation; separate steam for parts and liquid filled containers; none of these are really the same process
 New chapters on chemical sterilization: no prior information Applications are accounted by the same process.

- Aseptic processing as a separate chapter: not strictly a sterilization subject; needs better connection to other supportive chapters
 Update references throughout. New definitions for sterilization validation models. Clarify the role of the biological indicator. Clarify
- PNSU, SAL and risk to patient.
 Integrate Endotoxin Indicator chapter as well as BI & CI content.
 Move BI monographs out of "official chapters".
 Allow for easier development of other needed content in future.
- Depyrogenation treated independently of sterilization
 Finished Here: Separation of Sterilization, Depyrogenation
- and Sterility Assurance content.

<1229> Introductory Chapter

- Provides an overview and introduces common elements related to all sterilization methods. Includes:
 - Establishing & Justifying Sterilization Processes
 - D-value and Microbial Resistance
 - Biological & Physical Data
 - Sterilization Indicators & Integrators
 - Selection of an Appropriate Method
 - Routine Process Management

What's the Primary Objective?

♦ A minimum PNSU of 10⁻⁶ is required.

- That means that in routine operation of the sterilizer, the possibility for a surviving **bioburden** microorganism must be less than 1 in 1,000,000.
- It has little to do with the biological indicator, and even less to do with the BI population.

Calculation of PNSU (SAL)

$$\log N_u = \frac{-F}{D} + \log N_0$$

where:
 $N_u = SAL / PNSU$
 $D = D$ -value of the natural bioburden
 $F = F$ -value (lethality) of the process
 $N_0 = bioburden$ population







Steam Sterilization

- Separated prior sub-chapter into parts <1229S> and liquids <1229A> to allow for real differences, and greater clarity.
- Separates processes where over-processing is not a concern from those where it is.
- 1229S stresses "overkill, while <1229A supports BB/BI & Bioburden approaches
- In theory parts sterilization has no upper limit, while terminal / liquid sterilization is bounded both above and below the desired process.

Gas, Liquid & Vapors - D-Values

- A D-value is only meaningful if referenced to specified lethal conditions. For example wet or dry heat D-values should always be referenced to a temperature, without that reference they have no meaning, i.e., D_{121.1°C} or D_{170°C}.
- For D-values in gases / liquids the agent concentration, RH and temperature must be indicated, i.e., D_{900 PPM, 75% RH, 30°C}
- D-values cannot be accurately determined for vapors.













<1229> Bioburden Monitoring Reviews the relevant concerns for bioburden content Ability to survive the process Population Risk to Public Health Considers patient & product impact Provides a decision tree for use in establishment of a monitoring program.



Where is the USP's BI Content?						
Monographs 6 Individual Monographs	<55> Biological Indicators— Resistance Performance Tests	< 1035> Biological Indicators For Sterilization	<1211> Sterilization & Sterility Assurance Of Compendial Items			
General Description	Total Viable Spore Count	Types of Bioindicators	Linkage to individual sterilization processes			
Packaging & Storage	D-value Determination Methods	Performance Evaluation				
Expiration Date		Use for In-process Validation				
Labeling						
Identification						
D-value						
Survival & Kill Window						
Total Viable Spore Count						
Purity						
Shipment						
Disposal						







Hidden Impacts

- The use of BI's with 10⁶ spores is not (and never should have been) required. Lower populations have always been acceptable.
- Fitting the BI to the process, not the other way around. It should never have been about killing the most difficult microorganism possible.
- Greater consideration of the impact of the process on the materials, once the artificial constraints of BI kill are removed.

Where is USP Now - 1

- Official in First Supplement to USP 36–NF 31
 - <1229> Sterilization of Compendial Articles
 - <1229.1> Steam Sterilization by Direct Contact
 - <1229.2> Steam Sterilization of Aqueous Liquids
- Official in Second Supplement to USP 36– NF 31
 - <1229.3> Monitoring of Bioburden
- Published in PF 39(2) [Mar.-Apr. 2013]
 - <1229.4> Sterilizing Filtration of Liquids
 - <1229.10> Radiation Sterilization

Where is USP Now - 2

- To be published in PF 39(3) [May-Jun. 2013]
 - <1229.7> Gaseous Sterilization
 - <1229.8> Dry Heat Sterilization
- To be published in PF 39(4) [Jul.-Aug. 2013]
 <1229.6> Liquid Phase Sterilization
- The following General Chapters are in development:
 - <1229.11> Vapor Sterilization
 - <1229.5> Biological Indicators for Sterilization
 - <1229.9> Physicochemical Integrators and Indicators for Sterilization
 - <1229.12> New Methods of Sterilization

What's Still on the Horizon

- Comparable revisions to <1211> Sterility Assurance of Compendial Articles providing similar cohesion for aseptic processing operations and sterility assurance in general. Possible new / revised content on:
 - Isolator / RABS aseptic processing
 - Parametric Release (linked to <1229>)
 - Post-Aseptic Fill Treatments
 - ...