

The Biogen Idec Approach to Managing Corrective and Preventive Action

- *Internal*
- *External*

Michael J Cross Biogen Idec 26FEB04

Strategies for CAPA Management

- Components for CAPA Success
- How does it work in Cambridge?
- Applying CAPA to the Contract World
- Review some contractor case studies

Review of CAPA; Components for Success

- Strong Investigation Program
- Sr. Management Support
- Accurate Status Tracking
- Metrics Reports & Forum for Review

Strong Investigation Program

- Investigating to true root cause leads to effective CAPA
- Use multi-discipline investigation teams
- Timely follow up (30 day target)
- Tools in the Toolbox
 - Brainstorming
 - Data Gathering
 - Repetitive Why
 - Cause-Effect Diagram
 - Interviews

Sr. Management Support

- Sets tone for commitment to CAPA
- Accountability of managers & operators
- Quality Assurance should not be the only driver
- Provide resources for CAPA: personnel, equipment, schedule modifications

Accurate CAPA Status Tracking

- Allows for progress tracking and ensures closure
- Provide basis for reporting metrics and cycle time calculations
- Quality maintained
- Linked to exceptions, searchable

Metrics and Trending

- Need to identify system measures that provide key information on system status and effectiveness
 - Focus on cycle time for closure, such as no. overdue by >30 days, >60 days, etc.
 - CAPA “type” such as Document, Retraining, or Equipment Modification
 - Trend by department to highlight issues
 - Metrics reported monthly

Forum For CAPA Status Review

- Multi-level, interdepartmental team
- Formed to critically review exceptions and associated CAPAs
- Routine meeting, led by QA or Ops
- Summary reports with status provided by QA

Biogen Idec CAPA Management in Cambridge

- Strong Investigation Program
 - KT Structured Problem Solving
 - ASQ Problem Solving *Toolbox*
- Sr. Management Support
 - Participate in all CAPA review forums
 - Challenge teams to think out of the box
- Accurate Status Tracking
 - Trackwise for CAPA Management
 - Auto-reports, searchable, paperless

Biogen Idec CAPA Management in Cambridge *cont.*

- Metrics Reports - Cambridge Quality Monthly Report
 - Review of all key site metrics
 - Includes CAPA cycle times, no. closed in period, overdue CAPAs by department, etc.
- Forum for Review
 - Weekly Exceptions Meeting (*next slide*)

Cambridge Weekly Exceptions Mtg

- Each new deviation filed since last mtg is reviewed
- Area mgr. provides description of exception, investigation update, and proposed CAPA....informal
- Reps from all departments participate
- Be aware of CAPA trends: “retraining” or “document changes”

Managing CAPA in Contract Manufacturing

- The Biogen Idec contract management model: SQM and COM
- Why Do You Need to Manage Contract CAPA?
- Different Rules and Different Tools;
Contract Manufacturing CAPA Toolbox

The Biogen Idec Contract Management Model

- Supplier Quality Manager and Contract Operations Manager oversee contract
- SQM oversees product disposition activities, Change Control, BPR review, metrics
- COM handles contract/logistic issues, investigation lead, CAPA development

External CAPA Management System

Why?

- Compliance needs; both contractor and product owner accountable
- Business needs- \$\$ benefit for both
- Continuous improvement

Different Rules and Different Tools

One of a number of customers....

- Quality Agreement and Legal Contract
- Partnering-Collaboration-Negotiation
- Product specific Metrics...DATA
- Management Team Meetings
- Sr. Management Reviews - Quarterlies

Tool: Quality Agreement

- Comprehensive document approved by key department heads of both companies
- Generated by Biogen Idec
- Includes:
 - Responsibilities
 - Change Control
 - Material Mgt
 - Batch Disposition
 - Exceptions and CAPA handling

Tool: Partnering-Collaborating-Negotiating

- The primary “tool” for success
- Fosters more candid communication
- Allows co-development of investigation paths and CAPA avenues
- Use metrics data to support position
- Built on mutual respect

Tool: Metrics

- Most contractors maintain limited metrics
 - deviations per batch, yield, some cycle times
- Product owner must maintain product specific metrics
 - Deviations per batch
 - Recurring deviations
 - Defects
 - In-process QC
 - Yield
 - Cycle times
 - GMP Issues

Tool: Management Team Meetings

- Routine team meetings
- SQM, COM, Area mgrs, operators participate
- Standing Agenda
 - Deviation and investigation status review
 - CAPA closure status
 - Metrics & trend review, proactive not reactive

Case Studies

- Responding to In-process QC Assay Variability
- Capsule reconciliation issues: small lot, clinical product, limited contract

Responding to In-process QC Assay Variability

- Issue: Contract filler with ~13% avg variability from expected protein conc.
- Intra-company, multi-discipline investigation team formed
- Outcome:
 - Re-transfer assay to contractor
 - Establish SMEs, Qualification program
 - Check other assays/contractors

Capsule Reconciliation Issues

- Issue: Noted during repackaging protocol; Capsule counts did not reconcile
- Investigation revealed in-process checks based on bottle weight inaccurate
- CAPA: Contractor systems need revision to accommodate small scale mfr, verify closure at next start-up